arXiv:math/0404346v3 [math.DG] 25 Mar 2005

LIMIT SETS AS EXAMPLES IN NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY

JOHN LOTT

Abstract. The fundamental group of a hyperbolic manifold acts on the limit set, giving rise to a cross-product C^*-algebra. We construct nontrivial K-cycles for the cross-product algebra, thereby extending some results of Connes and Sullivan to higher dimensions. We also show how the Patterson-Sullivan measure on the limit set can be interpreted as a center-valued KMS state.

1. Introduction

If M is a complete oriented $(n+1)$-dimensional hyperbolic manifold then its fundamental group Γ acts on the sphere-at-infinity S^n of the hyperbolic space H^{n+1}. The limit set Λ is a closed Γ-invariant subset of S^n which is the locus for the complicated dynamics of Γ on S^n. It is self-similar and often has noninteger Hausdorff dimension.

One can associate a cross-product C^*-algebra $C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ to the action of Γ on Λ. It is then of interest to see how the geometry of M relates to properties of $C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda)$. In this paper we study two aspects of this problem. One aspect is an interpretation of the Patterson-Sullivan measure [40] in the framework of noncommutative geometry. The second aspect is the construction and study of K-cycles for $C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda)$.

The Patterson-Sullivan measure is an important tool in the study of the Γ-action on Λ. If $x \in H^{n+1}$ then the Patterson-Sullivan measure $d\mu_x$ on Λ describes how Λ is seen by an observer at x. In the first part of this paper we give an algebraic interpretation of the Patterson-Sullivan measure. If a C^*-algebra is equipped with a one-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms then there is a notion of a β-KMS (Kubo-Martin-Schwinger) state on the algebra. This notion arose from quantum statistical mechanics, where β is the inverse temperature. For each $x \in H^{n+1}$, we construct a one-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms of $C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ and show that $d\mu_x$ gives rise to a $\delta(\Gamma)$-KMS state (up to normalization), where $\delta(\Gamma)$ is the critical exponent of Γ.

Putting these together for various x, we obtain a picture of a field of C^*-algebras over M with fiber isomorphic to $C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda)$. The different copies of $C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ have different one-parameter automorphism groups. The global KMS state is defined on the algebra A of continuous sections of the field and takes value in the center $Z(A) = C(M)$. One can translate some geometric statements to algebraic statements. For example, if M is convex-cocompact then $\delta(\Gamma)$ is the unique β so that there is a β-KMS state.

The bulk of the paper is concerned with constructing cycles that represent nontrivial classes in the K-homology $\mathrm{KK}_*(C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ of $C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda)$, or equivalently, in the equivariant K-homology $\mathrm{KK}^\Gamma_*(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ of $C(\Lambda)$. This program was started by Connes and Sullivan [11, Chapter IV.3]. A motivation comes from the goal of doing analysis on the self-similar set

Date: January 19, 2005.

Research supported by NSF grant DMS-0306242.

Λ. One can give various meanings to this phrase. What is relevant to this paper is the idea of Atiyah that the K-homology of a compact Hausdorff space has cycles given by abstract elliptic operators on the space [5]. This has developed into the K-homology of C^*-algebras, for which we refer to the book of Higson and Roe [18]. Cycles for $\mathrm{KK}_*^\Gamma(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ can be considered to be something like elliptic operators on Λ.

Such cycles are pairs (H, F) satisfying certain properties, where H is a Hilbert space on which $C(\Lambda)$ and Γ act, and F is a self-adjoint operator on H. In the bounded formalism F is bounded and commutes with the elements of Γ up to compact operators, while in the unbounded formalism F is generally unbounded and commutes with the elements of Γ up to bounded operators.

The computation of $\mathrm{KK}_*^\Gamma(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ can be done by established techniques. Our goal is to find *explicit* and *canonical* cycles (H, F) which represent nontrivial elements in $\mathrm{KK}_*^\Gamma(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$. To make an analogy, a compact oriented Riemannian manifold has a signature class in its K-homology, but it also has a signature *operator*. Clearly the study of the signature operator leads to issues that go beyond the study of the corresponding K-homology class.

In order to get canonical cycles in the limit set case, we will require them to commute with Γ on the nose. This is quite restrictive. In particular, to get natural examples of such cycles we must use the bounded formalism. In effect, we will construct signature-type operators on limit sets. There are two issues : first to show that there is a nontrivial signature-type equivariant K-homology class on Λ, and second to find an explicit equivariant K-cycle within the K-homology class. Connes and Sullivan described a natural cycle when the limit set is a quasicircle in S^2 and studied its properties. As their construction used some special features of the two-dimensional case, it is not immediately evident how to extend their methods to higher dimension.

In Section 6 we compute $\mathrm{KK}_i^\Gamma(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ in terms of equivariant K-cohomology, giving $\mathrm{K}_\Gamma^{n-i}(S^n, S^n - \Lambda)$. The appearance of the smooth manifold $S^n - \Lambda$ indicates its possible relevance for constructing K-cycles when $\Lambda \neq S^n$.

As Γ acts conformally on S^n, we construct our K-cycles in the framework of conformal geometry. We start with the case $n = 2k$. In Section 7 we consider an arbitrary oriented manifold X of dimension $2k$, equipped with a conformal structure. The Hilbert space H of square-integrable k-forms on X is conformally invariant. We consider a certain conformally invariant operator F on H that was introduced by Connes-Sullivan-Teleman in the compact case [12]. Under a technical assumption (which will be satisfied in the cases of interest), we show that (H, F) gives a K-cycle for $C_0(X)$ whose K-homology class is that of the signature operator $d + d^*$. We then prove the invariance of the K-homology class under quasiconformal homeomorphisms of X. This will be relevant for limit sets, as a hyperbolic manifold has a deformation space consisting of new hyperbolic manifolds whose dynamics on S^n are conjugated to the old one by quasiconformal homeomorphisms.

If Λ is the entire sphere-at-infinity S^{2k} then the pair (H, F) gives a nontorsion class in $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}^\Gamma(C(S^{2k}); \mathbb{C})$. If $\Lambda \neq S^{2k}$ then the idea will be to sweep topological charge from $S^{2k} - \Lambda$ to Λ. More precisely, we have an isomorphism $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}^\Gamma(C_0(S^{2k} - \Lambda); \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{KK}_{2k}^\Gamma(C(S^{2k}), C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ and a boundary map $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}^\Gamma(C(S^{2k}), C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}^\Gamma(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$. We can then form a cycle in $\mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}^\Gamma(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ starting from the above cycle (H, F) for $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}^\Gamma(C_0(S^{2k} - \Lambda); \mathbb{C})$. Twisting the construction by Γ-equivariant vector bundles on $S^n - \Lambda$ gives cycles for the rational part of $\mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}^\Gamma(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ represented by $\mathrm{Im}\left(\mathrm{K}_\Gamma^0(S^n - \Lambda) \to \mathrm{K}_\Gamma^1(S^n, S^n - \Lambda)\right)$.

To make this explicit, in Section 8 we consider a manifold X as above equipped with a partial compactification $\overline{X}$. Putting $\partial\overline{X} = \overline{X} - X$, for appropriate $\overline{X}$ the pair (H, F) also gives a cycle for $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(\overline{X}), C(\partial\overline{X}); \mathbb{C})$. The boundary map $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(\overline{X}), C(\partial\overline{X}); \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}(C(\partial\overline{X}); \mathbb{C})$ was described by Baum and Douglas in terms of Ext classes [6]. In our case it will involve the L^2-harmonic k-forms on X. If $\overline{X}$ is a smooth manifold-with-boundary then we show that the ensuing class in $\mathrm{Ext}(C(\partial\overline{X}))$ is given by certain homomorphisms from $C(\partial\overline{X})$ to the Calkin algebra of a Hilbert space of exact k-forms on $\partial\overline{X}$. If $\overline{X}$ is the closed $2k$-ball then the Hilbert space is the $H^{-1/2}$ Sobolev space of such forms on S^{2k-1}, and is Möbius-invariant.

A Fuchsian group has limit set $S^{n-1} \subset S^n$. A quasiFuchsian group is conjugate to a Fuchsian group by a quasiconformal homeomorphism ϕ of S^n. In particular, $\phi(S^{n-1}) = \Lambda$. In the case of a quasiFuchsian group with $n = 2k$, we show in Section 9 that the element of $\mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma}_{2k-1}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ constructed by the Baum-Douglas boundary map is represented by the pushforward under $\phi\big|_{S^{2k-1}}$ of the Fuchsian Ext class. If $k = 1$ then we recover the K-homology class on a quasicircle considered by Connes and Sullivan. We also describe the Ext class when M is an acylindrical convex-cocompact hyperbolic 3-manifold with incompressible boundary, in which case Λ is a Sierpinski curve.

Section 10 deals with the case when the sphere-at-infinity S^n has dimension $n = 2k - 1$. If $\Lambda \neq S^{2k-1}$ then we consider how to go from such an odd cycle on $S^{2k-1} - \Lambda$ to an even K-cycle on Λ. Our discussion here is somewhat formal and uses smooth forms. In the case $k = 1$ we recover the K-cycle on a Cantor set considered by Connes and Sullivan. We also describe a K-cycle in the quasiFuchsian case and some other convex-cocompact cases.

For a quasiFuchsian limit set $\Lambda \subset S^n$, with n odd or even, the K-cycle for $C(\Lambda)$ is essentially the same as the K-cycle for $C(S^{n-1})$ in the Fuchsian case $S^{n-1} \subset S^n$, after pushforward by $\phi\big|_{S^{n-1}}$. As an example of the analytic issues concerning the K-cycle, in Section 11 we consider the subalgebra $\mathcal{A} = \phi^* C^\infty(S^n)\big|_{S^{n-1}}$ of $C(S^{n-1})$. We show that the Fredholm module $(\mathcal{A}, H, F)$ is p-summable for sufficiently large p. In the case $n = 2$, Connes and Sullivan showed that the infimum of such p equals $\delta(\Gamma)$. An interesting analytic question is how this result extends to $n > 2$.

Some related papers about limit sets are [1, 2, 16, 27, 39].

I thank Gilles Carron and Juha Heinonen for helpful information and the referee for some corrections. I thank MSRI for its hospitality while part of this research was performed.

2. Hyperbolic manifolds and the Patterson-Sullivan measure

For background information on hyperbolic manifolds and conformal dynamics, we refer to [30]. For background information on the Patterson-Sullivan measure, we refer to [31] and [40].

Let Γ be a torsion-free discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{n+1})$, the orientation-preserving isometries of the hyperbolic space H^{n+1}. We will generally assume that Γ is nonelementary, i.e. not virtually abelian, although some statements will be clearly valid for elementary groups. Put $M = H^{n+1}/\Gamma$, an oriented hyperbolic manifold.

We write S^n for the sphere-at-infinity of H^{n+1}, and put $\overline{H^{n+1}} = H^{n+1} \cup S^n$, with the topology of the closed unit disk. Let Λ denote the *limit set* of Γ. It is the minimal nonempty closed Γ-invariant subset of S^n. In particular, given $x_0 \in H^{n+1}$, Λ can be constructed as

the set of accumulation points of $x_0\Gamma$ in $\overline{H^{n+1}}$. The *domain of discontinuity* is defined to be $\Omega = S^n - \Lambda$, an open subset of S^n. There are right Γ-actions on Λ and Ω, with the action on Ω being free and properly discontinuous. The quotient Ω/Γ is called the *conformal boundary* of M. We denote the action of $g \in \Gamma$ on Λ by $R_g \in \mathrm{Homeo}(\Lambda)$. This induces a left action of Γ on $C(\Lambda)$, by $g \cdot f = R_g^* f$. That is, for $g \in \Gamma$, $f \in C(\Lambda)$ and $\xi \in \Lambda$,

$$(g \cdot f)(\xi) = f(\xi g). \tag{2.1}$$

The *convex core* of M is the Γ-quotient of the convex hull (in H^{n+1}) of Λ. The group Γ is *convex-cocompact* if the convex core of M is compact. If Γ is convex-cocompact then it is Gromov-hyperbolic and Λ equals its Gromov boundary.

Let x_0 be a basepoint in H^{n+1}. The critical exponent $\delta = \delta(\Gamma)$ is defined by

$$\delta = \inf\{s : \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s\, d(x_0, x_0\gamma)} < \infty\}. \tag{2.2}$$

For each $x \in H^{n+1}$, the Patterson-Sullivan measure $d\mu_x$ is a certain measure on Λ. If Γ is such that $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-\delta\, d(x_0, x_0\gamma)} = \infty$ then $d\mu_x$ is a weak limit

$$d\mu_x = \lim_{s \to \delta^+} \frac{\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s\, d(x, x_0\gamma)}\, \delta_{x_0\gamma}}{\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s\, d(x_0, x_0\gamma)}} \tag{2.3}$$

of measures on $\overline{H^{n+1}}$. If $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-\delta\, d(x_0, x_0\gamma)} < \infty$ then one proceeds slightly differently [40, Section 1].

Given $x, x' \in H^{n+1}$ and $\xi \in \Lambda$, put

$$D(x, x', \xi) = \lim_{x'' \to \xi} \left(d(x, x'') - d(x', x'')\right). \tag{2.4}$$

Formally one can think of $D(x, x', \xi)$ as $d(x, \xi) - d(x', \xi)$, although the two terms do not make individual sense. One has

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, x', \xi) &= -D(x', x, \xi), \\ D(x, x', \xi) + D(x', x'', \xi) &= D(x, x'', \xi), \\ D(x\gamma, x'\gamma, \xi\gamma) &= D(x, x', \xi). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

One can verify from (2.3) that

$$d\mu_x = e^{-\delta\, D(x, x', \cdot)}\, d\mu_{x'} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$(R_g)_*\, d\mu_x = d\mu_{xg}. \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.6) and (2.7),

$$(R_g)_*\, d\mu_x = e^{\delta\, D(x, xg, \cdot)}\, d\mu_x. \tag{2.8}$$

We note that if we have (2.7) for a fixed x, and then define $d\mu_{x'}$ by (2.6), it follows that (2.7) also holds for $d\mu_{x'}$. We also note that the Patterson-Sullivan measure is not a single Γ-invariant measure. Rather, it is a Γ-invariant conformal density in the sense of [40, Section 1].

3. The cross-product C^*-algebra

The *algebraic cross-product* $C(\Lambda) \rtimes \Gamma$ consists of finite formal sums $f = \sum_{g\in\Gamma} f_g g$, with $f_g \in C(\Lambda)$. The product of $f, f' \in C(\Lambda) \rtimes \Gamma$ is given by

$$\left(\sum_{g\in\Gamma} f_g g\right)\left(\sum_{g'\in\Gamma} f'_{g'} g'\right) \;=\; \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\sum_{gg'=\gamma} f_g\,(g\cdot f'_{g'})\,\gamma, \tag{3.1}$$

or

$$(ff')_\gamma(\xi) \;=\; \sum_{gg'=\gamma} f_g(\xi)\, f'_{g'}(\xi g). \tag{3.2}$$

The $*$-operator is given by

$$(f^*)_g \;=\; g\cdot\overline{f_{g^{-1}}}, \tag{3.3}$$

or

$$(f^*)_g(\xi) \;=\; \overline{f_{g^{-1}}}(\xi g). \tag{3.4}$$

For each $\xi \in \Lambda$, there is a $*$-homomorphism $\pi^\xi \,:\, C(\Lambda)\rtimes\Gamma \to B(l^2(\Gamma))$ given by saying that for $f \;=\; \sum_{g\in\Gamma} f_g g$ and $c \in l^2(\Gamma)$,

$$(\pi^\xi(f)\,c)_\gamma \;=\; \sum_{\gamma'\in\Gamma} k_{\gamma,\gamma'}(\xi)\,c_{\gamma'}, \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$k_{\gamma,\gamma'}(\xi) \;=\; f_{\gamma(\gamma')^{-1}}(\xi\gamma^{-1}). \tag{3.6}$$

The *reduced cross-product C^*-algebra* $C^*_r(\Gamma,\Lambda)$ is the completion of $C(\Lambda)\rtimes\Gamma$ with respect to the norm

$$f \to \sup_{\xi\in\Lambda} \parallel \pi^\xi \parallel_{l^2(\Gamma)}\,. \tag{3.7}$$

The homomorphism π^ξ extends to $C^*_r(\Gamma,\Lambda)$. For $f \in C^*_r(\Gamma,\Lambda)$, $\pi^\xi(f)$ acts on $l^2(\Gamma)$ by a matrix $k_{\gamma,\gamma'}(\xi)$ which comes as in (3.6) from a formal sum $f \;=\; \sum_{g\in\Gamma} f_g\, g$ with each f_g in $C(\Lambda)$ (although if Γ is infinite then one loses the finite support condition when taking the completion). The product in $C^*_r(\Gamma,\Lambda)$ is given by the same formula (3.2).

The *maximal cross-product C^*-algebra* $C^*(\Gamma,\Lambda)$ is given by completing $C(\Lambda)\rtimes\Gamma$ with respect to the supremum of the norms of all $*$-representations on a separable Hilbert space. There is an obvious homomorphism $C^*(\Gamma,\Lambda) \to C^*_r(\Gamma,\Lambda)$.

Lemma 3.8. *In our case $C^*(\Gamma,\Lambda) \;=\; C^*_r(\Gamma,\Lambda)$. Furthermore, $C^*_r(\Gamma,\Lambda)$ is nuclear, simple and purely infinite.*

Proof. It follows from [35, Theorem 3.1] and [3, Theorem 3.37] that Γ acts topologically amenably on S^n, and hence also on Λ. Then [3, Proposition 6.1.8] implies that $C^*(\Gamma,\Lambda) = C^*_r(\Gamma,\Lambda)$ and [3, Corollary 6.2.14] implies that $C^*_r(\Gamma,\Lambda)$ is nuclear. From [1, Proposition 3.1], $C^*_r(\Gamma,\Lambda)$ is simple and purely infinite. (We are assuming here that Γ is nonelementary.) □

Thus $C^*_r(\Gamma,\Lambda)$ is a Kirchberg algebra [36]. In addition, it lies in the so-called bootstrap class $\mathcal{N}$, as follows for example from [45, Section 10]. Thus $C^*_r(\Gamma,\Lambda)$ falls into a class of C^*-algebras that can be classified by their K-theory.

4. An automorphism group and a positive functional on $C^*_r(\Gamma, \Lambda)$

In this section, for each $x \in H^{n+1}$, we construct a corresponding one-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms of $C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda)$. We show that the Patterson-Sullivan measure $d\mu_x$ gives rise to a $\delta(\Gamma)$-KMS state (up to normalization).

Propositions 4.2 and 4.8 of the present section are special cases of general results about quasi-invariant measures and KMS states [34, Chapter II.5]. We include the proofs, which are quite direct in our case, for completeness.

Fix $x \in H^{n+1}$. Given $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in C^*_r(\Gamma, \Lambda)$, put

$$(\alpha_t f)_g(\xi) \ = \ e^{itD(x, xg^{-1}, \xi)} \, f_g(\xi). \tag{4.1}$$

Proposition 4.2. *α is a strongly-continuous one-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms of $C^*_r(\Gamma, \Lambda)$.*

Proof. For $f \in C(\Lambda) \rtimes \Gamma$, the kernel k^t corresponding to $\alpha_t f$ is

$$\begin{aligned} k^t_{\gamma, \gamma'}(\xi) \ &= \ (\alpha_t f)_{\gamma(\gamma')^{-1}}(\xi \gamma^{-1}) \ = \ e^{itD(x, x\gamma'\gamma^{-1}, \xi\gamma^{-1})} \, f_{\gamma(\gamma')^{-1}}(\xi\gamma^{-1}) \ = \ e^{itD(x, x\gamma'\gamma^{-1}, \xi\gamma^{-1})} \, k_{\gamma, \gamma'}(\xi) \\ &= \ e^{itD(x\gamma, x\gamma', \xi)} \, k_{\gamma, \gamma'}(\xi) \ = \ e^{it(D(x\gamma, x, \xi) - D(x\gamma', x, \xi))} \, k_{\gamma, \gamma'}(\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Thus $\pi^\xi(\alpha_t f) \ = \ U(t, \xi) \, \pi^\xi(f) \, U(t, \xi)^{-1}$, where $U(t, \xi)$ is the unitary operator that acts on $c \in l^2(\Gamma)$ by

$$(U(t, \xi) c)_g \ = \ e^{itD(xg, x, \xi)} \, c_g. \tag{4.4}$$

This shows that if $f \in C^*_r(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ then $\alpha_t f \in C^*_r(\Gamma, \Lambda)$, and that $\alpha_t f$ is strongly-continuous in t.

Given $f, f' \in C^*_r(\Gamma, \Lambda)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_t(ff'))_g(\xi) \ &= \ e^{itD(x, xg^{-1}, \xi)} \, (ff')_g(\xi) \ = \ e^{itD(x, xg^{-1}, \xi)} \sum_{\gamma\gamma' = g} f_\gamma(\xi) \, f'_{\gamma'}(\xi\gamma) \\ &= \ \sum_{\gamma\gamma' = g} e^{itD(x, x\gamma^{-1}, \xi)} \, f_\gamma(\xi) \, e^{itD(x\gamma^{-1}, xg^{-1}, \xi)} f'_{\gamma'}(\xi\gamma) \\ &= \ \sum_{\gamma\gamma' = g} e^{itD(x, x\gamma^{-1}, \xi)} \, f_\gamma(\xi) \, e^{itD(x, x(\gamma')^{-1}, \xi\gamma)} f'_{\gamma'}(\xi\gamma) \ = \ ((\alpha_t f)(\alpha_t f'))_g(\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Thus $\alpha_t(ff') \ = \ (\alpha_t(f))(\alpha_t(f'))$. Next, given $f \in C^*_r(\Gamma, \Lambda)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_t f)^*_g(\xi) \ &= \ \overline{e^{itD(x, xg, \xi g)} \, f_{g^{-1}}(\xi g)} \ = \ e^{-itD(x, xg, \xi g)} \, \overline{f_{g^{-1}}(\xi g)} \ = \ e^{-itD(xg^{-1}, x, \xi)} \, \overline{f_{g^{-1}}(\xi g)} \\ &= \ e^{itD(x, xg^{-1}, \xi)} \, \overline{f_{g^{-1}}(\xi g)} \ = \ (\alpha_t f^*)_g(\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Thus $(\alpha_t(f))^* \ = \ \alpha_t(f^*)$. This shows that α_t is a $*$-automorphism of $C^*_r(\Gamma, \Lambda)$. Finally, it is clear that for $t, t' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha_t \circ \alpha_{t'} \ = \ \alpha_{t+t'}$. $\square$

Define a positive functional $\tau \ : \ C^*_r(\Gamma, \Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\tau(f) \ = \ \int_\Lambda f_e \, d\mu_x. \tag{4.7}$$

It may not be a state, as $d\mu_x$ may not be a probability measure. (See Lemma 5.14. One could imagine normalizing $d\mu_x$ by dividing it by its mass, but this would cause further complications.)

For background on KMS states, we refer to [33, Chapter 8.12]. We now show that τ satisfies the KMS condition.

Proposition 4.8. *Given $f, f' \in C_r^*(\Gamma, \Lambda)$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, put*

$$F(t) \;=\; \tau(f\, \alpha_t(f')). \tag{4.9}$$

Then F has a continuous bounded continuation to $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \;:\; 0 \le \mathrm{Imag}(z) \le \delta\}$ that is analytic in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \;:\; 0 < \mathrm{Imag}(z) < \delta\}$, with

$$F(t + i\delta) \;=\; \tau(\alpha_t(f')\, f). \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. From [33, Proposition 8.12.3], it is enough to show that (4.10) holds when $f' \in C(\Lambda) \rtimes \Gamma$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) \;&=\; \int_\Lambda (f(\alpha_t f'))_e(\xi)\, d\mu_x(\xi) \;=\; \int_\Lambda \sum_{g\in\Gamma} f_g(\xi)\, (\alpha_t f')_{g^{-1}}(\xi g)\, d\mu_x(\xi) \\ &=\; \int_\Lambda \sum_{g\in\Gamma} f_g(\xi)\, e^{itD(x,xg,\xi g)}\, f'_{g^{-1}}(\xi g)\, d\mu_x(\xi) \\ &=\; \int_\Lambda \sum_{g\in\Gamma} f_g(\xi)\, e^{itD(xg^{-1},x,\xi)}\, f'_{g^{-1}}(\xi g)\, d\mu_x(\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(t + i\delta) \;&=\; \int_\Lambda \sum_{g\in\Gamma} f_g(\xi)\, e^{itD(xg^{-1},x,\xi)}\, f'_{g^{-1}}(\xi g)\, e^{-\delta D(xg^{-1},x,\xi)}\, d\mu_x(\xi) \\ &=\; \int_\Lambda \sum_{g\in\Gamma} f_g(\xi)\, e^{itD(xg^{-1},x,\xi)}\, f'_{g^{-1}}(\xi g)\, d\mu_{xg^{-1}}(\xi) \\ &=\; \int_\Lambda \sum_{g\in\Gamma} f_g(\xi)\, e^{itD(xg^{-1},x,\xi)}\, f'_{g^{-1}}(\xi g)\, d\mu_x(\xi g) \\ &=\; \int_\Lambda \sum_{g\in\Gamma} f_g(\xi g^{-1})\, e^{itD(xg^{-1},x,\xi g^{-1})}\, f'_{g^{-1}}(\xi)\, d\mu_x(\xi) \\ &=\; \int_\Lambda \sum_{g\in\Gamma} e^{itD(xg,x,\xi g)}\, f'_g(\xi)\, f_{g^{-1}}(\xi g)\, d\mu_x(\xi) \\ &=\; \int_\Lambda \sum_{g\in\Gamma} e^{itD(x,xg^{-1},\xi)}\, f'_g(\xi)\, f_{g^{-1}}(\xi g)\, d\mu_x(\xi) \\ &=\; \int_\Lambda \sum_{g\in\Gamma} (\alpha_t f')_g(\xi)\, f_{g^{-1}}(\xi g)\, d\mu_x(\xi) \\ &=\; \int_\Lambda ((\alpha_t f')f)_e(\xi)\, d\mu_x(\xi) \;=\; \tau(\alpha_t(f')\, f). \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

This proves the claim. □

5. Center-valued KMS state

In this section we allow the point $x \in H^{n+1}$ to vary. We construct a field of C^*-algebras over M, each isomorphic to $C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda)$. The global KMS state is defined on the algebra A of continuous sections of the field and takes value in the center $Z(A) = C(M)$. We translate some statements about the conformal dynamics of Γ on S^n to algebraic statements about the KMS state on A.

Let $C(H^{n+1}, C_r^*(\Gamma, \Lambda))$ denote the continuous maps from H^{n+1} to $C_r^*(\Gamma, \Lambda)$. We write an element of $C(H^{n+1}, C_r^*(\Gamma, \Lambda))$ as $F \equiv \sum_{g \in \Gamma} F_{x,g} g$, with $F_{x,g} \in C(\Lambda)$. Then Γ acts by automorphisms on $C(H^{n+1}, C_r^*(\Gamma, \Lambda))$, by the formula

$$(\gamma \cdot F)_{x,g} = R_\gamma^* F_{x\gamma, \gamma^{-1} g \gamma}. \tag{5.1}$$

Define an 1-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms $\mathcal{A}_t$ of $C(H^{n+1}, C_r^*(\Gamma, \Lambda))$ by

$$(\mathcal{A}_t F)_{x,g}(\xi) = e^{itD(x, xg^{-1}, \xi)} F_{x,g}(\xi). \tag{5.2}$$

Lemma 5.3. *$\mathcal{A}_t$ is Γ-equivariant.*

Proof. Given $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $F \in C(H^{n+1}, C_r^*(\Gamma, \Lambda))$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}_t(\gamma \cdot F))_{x,g}(\xi) &= e^{itD(x, xg^{-1}, \xi)} F_{x\gamma, \gamma^{-1} g \gamma}(\xi\gamma) = e^{itD(x\gamma, x\gamma\gamma^{-1} g^{-1} \gamma, \xi\gamma)} F_{x\gamma, \gamma^{-1} g \gamma}(\xi\gamma) \\ &= (\gamma \cdot (\mathcal{A}_t F))_{x,g}(\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

□

We write the positive functional τ of (4.7) as τ_x. For $F \in C(H^{n+1}, C_r^*(\Gamma, \Lambda))$, define $\mathcal{T}(F) \in C(H^{n+1})$ by

$$(\mathcal{T}(F))(x) = \tau_x \left(\sum_{g \in \Gamma} F_{x,g} g \right) = \int_\Lambda F_{x,e}(\xi) \, d\mu_x(\xi). \tag{5.5}$$

Lemma 5.6. *$\mathcal{T}$ is Γ-equivariant.*

Proof. Given $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $F \in C(H^{n+1}, C_r^*(\Gamma, \Lambda))$,

$$\begin{aligned} (R_\gamma^*(\mathcal{T}(F)))(x) &= (\mathcal{T}(F))(x\gamma) = \tau_{x\gamma} \left(\sum_{g \in \Gamma} F_{x\gamma, g} g \right) = \int_\Lambda F_{x\gamma, e} \, d\mu_{x\gamma} \\ &= \int_\Lambda F_{x\gamma, e} \, (R_\gamma)_* d\mu_x = \int_\Lambda (R_\gamma)^* F_{x\gamma, e} \, d\mu_x = \int_\Lambda (\gamma \cdot F)_{x,e} \, d\mu_x \\ &= (\mathcal{T}(\gamma \cdot F))(x). \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

□

Let A be the Γ-invariant subspace $(C(H^{n+1}, C_r^*(\Gamma, \Lambda)))^\Gamma$. Then A consists of the continuous sections of a field of C^*-algebras over M in the sense of [13, Definition 10.3.1], with each fiber A_m isomorphic to $C_r^*(\Gamma, \Lambda)$. The center of A is $Z(A) = C(M)$. By Lemma 5.3, the automorphisms $\mathcal{A}_t$ restrict to a 1-parameter group $\mathcal{B}_t$ of $*$-automorphisms of A.

By Lemma 5.6, the map $\mathcal{T}$ restricts to a map $\mathcal{S} : A \to Z(A)$. For $F, F' \in A$, put

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \mathcal{S}(F \, \mathcal{B}_t(F')). \tag{5.8}$$

As in Proposition 4.8, $\mathcal{F}$ has a continuous extension to $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \text{Imag}(z) \leq \delta\}$ that is analytic (in the sense of [37, Definition 3.30]) in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \text{Imag}(z) < \delta\}$, with

$$\mathcal{F}(t + i\delta) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B}_t(F')\, F). \tag{5.9}$$

Lemma 5.10. *For all $\sigma \in Z(A)$ and $F \in A$, $\mathcal{S}(\sigma F) = \sigma \mathcal{S}(F)$.*

We will call a linear map $\mathcal{S} : A \to Z(A)$ satisfying the preceding properties a center-valued δ-KMS state for the pair $(A, \mathcal{B}_t)$, or just a δ-KMS state. We do not require that $\mathcal{S}(1_A)$ be 1.

Proposition 5.11. *a. If Γ is convex-cocompact then the pair $(A, \mathcal{B}_t)$ has a $\delta(\Gamma)$-KMS state, and this is the only β for which $(A, \mathcal{B}_t)$ has a β-KMS state. Furthermore, the KMS-state is unique up to multiplication by positive elements of $Z(A)$.*
b. If Γ is not convex-cocompact then for each $\beta \in [\delta(\Gamma), \infty)$ the pair $(A, \mathcal{B}_t)$ has a β-KMS state.
c. If Γ is not convex-cocompact and has no parabolic elements then the set of β for which $(A, \mathcal{B}_t)$ has a β-KMS state is $[\delta(\Gamma), \infty)$.

Proof. Existence of β : For all Γ, the Patterson-Sullivan measure gives rise to a $\delta(\Gamma)$-KMS state on $(A, \mathcal{B}_t)$. Fix $x \in H^{n+1}$. From [42, Theorem 2.19(i)], if Γ is not convex-cocompact then for each $\beta \in [\delta(\Gamma), \infty)$, there is a positive measure $d\nu_x$ on Λ satisfying

$$(R_g)_*\, d\nu_x = e^{\beta\, D(x, xg, \cdot)}\, d\nu_x. \tag{5.12}$$

Given such a measure, for $x' \in H^{n+1}$, define $d\nu_{x'}$ by

$$d\nu_{x'} = e^{\beta\, D(x, x', \cdot)}\, d\nu_x. \tag{5.13}$$

Then we can form a β-KMS state for the pair $(A, \mathcal{B}_t)$ in the same way as with the Patterson-Sullivan measure.
Uniqueness of β : Suppose that Γ has no parabolic elements. Fix $x \in H^{n+1}$. Consider the cross-product groupoid $G = \Lambda \rtimes \Gamma$. Define the cocycle $c(\xi, g) = D(x, xg, \xi)$. Suppose that $\xi g = g$ and $c(\xi, g) = 0$. Take an upper half-plane model for H^{n+1} in which ξ is the point at infinity. Then the hyperbolic element g translates by a signed length $d(g)$ in the $(n+1)$-th coordinate (along with a possible rotation in the other coordinates), and $|D(x, xg, \xi)| = |d(g)|$. It follows that g is the identity element of Γ. Thus the subgroupoid $c^{-1}(0)$ is principal.

Suppose that we have a β-KMS state for the pair $(A, \mathcal{B}_t)$. From [26, Proposition 3.2], the KMS state arises from a positive measure $d\nu_x$ on Λ which satisfies (5.12). Then from [42, Theorem 2.19], if Γ is convex-cocompact then $\beta = \delta(\Gamma)$, while if Γ is not cocompact then $\beta \in [\delta(\Gamma), \infty)$. Furthermore, if Γ is convex-cocompact then $d\nu_x$ is proportionate to the Patterson-Sullivan measure $d\mu_x$. □

Lemma 5.14. *$\mathcal{S}(1)$ is a positive eigenfunction of $\triangle_M$ with eigenvalue $\delta(\Gamma)\,(n - \delta(\Gamma))$.*

Proof. The function Φ on H^{n+1}, given by setting $\Phi(x)$ to be the mass of $d\mu_x$, is the pullback to H^{n+1} of a positive eigenfunction ϕ of $\triangle_M$ with eigenvalue $\delta(\Gamma)\,(n - \delta(\Gamma))$ [40, Theorem 28]. □

In general, $\mathcal{S}(1)$ is not bounded on M.

Lemma 5.15. *If Γ is convex-cocompact then $\mathcal{S}(1) \in C_0(M)$.*

Proof. With reference to the proof of Lemma 5.14, if Γ is convex-cocompact then [42, Theorem 2.13(a)] implies that $\phi \in C_0(M)$, from which the result follows. $\square$

In the rest of this section we assume that Γ is convex-cocompact. Let $\pi_m : A \rightarrow A_m$ be the homomorphism from A to the fiber over $m \in M$. Let A_0 be the subalgebra of A consisting of elements a so that the function $m \rightarrow \| \pi_m(a) \|$ lies in $C_0(M)$. Then A_0 is the C^*-algebra associated to the continuous field of C^*-algebras on M, in the sense of [13, Section 10.4.1]. From Lemma 5.15, the map $\mathcal{S} : A \rightarrow Z(A)$ restricts to a map $\mathcal{S}_0 : A_0 \rightarrow Z(A_0)$, for which (5.8) and (5.9) again hold. Also, for all $\sigma \in Z(A_0)$ and $F \in A_0$, $\mathcal{S}_0(\sigma F) = \sigma \mathcal{S}_0(F)$.

6. K-homology of the cross-product algebra

In this section we compute $\mathrm{KK}_i^{\Gamma}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ in terms of the equivariant K-cohomology, in the sense of the Borel construction, of the pair (S^n, Ω).

We let $\mathrm{K}^*(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the representable (i.e. homotopy-invariant) K-cohomology of a topological pair [43, Chapter 7.68, Remark in Chapter 8.43, Chapter 11]. We let $\mathrm{K}_*(\cdot)$ denote the unreduced Steenrod K-homology of a compact metric space [17, p. 161], [22]. Put $\overline{M} = (H^{n+1} \cup \Omega)/\Gamma$, so $\overline{M} = M \cup \partial \overline{M}$, where $\partial \overline{M}$ is the conformal boundary.

For background on analytic K-homology and (equivariant) KK-theory, we refer to [18] and [8]. We recall that $\mathrm{KK}_i^{\Gamma}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{KK}_i(C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ [8, Theorem 20.2.7]. We wish to compute $\mathrm{KK}_i^{\Gamma}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ in term of classical homotopy-invariant topology (as opposed to proper homotopy invariance).

If X and $A \subset X$ are manifolds then the relative K-group $\mathrm{K}^0(X, A)$ has generators given by virtual vector bundles on X that are trivialized over A, and similarly for $\mathrm{K}^1(X, A)$. We let $\mathrm{K}_{\Gamma}^*(X, A)$ denote the relative K-theory of the Borel construction, e.g. $\mathrm{K}_{\Gamma}^*(S^n, \Omega) = \mathrm{K}^*((E\Gamma \times S^n)/\Gamma, (E\Gamma \times \Omega)/\Gamma)$. A model for $E\Gamma$ is H^{n+1}. There is a Γ-equivariant diffeomorphism $SH^{n+1} \rightarrow H^{n+1} \times S^n$ that sends a unit vector $\widehat{v}$ at a point $x \in H^{n+1}$ to the pair (x, ξ), where ξ is the point on the sphere-at-infinity hit by the geodesic starting at x with initial vector $\widehat{v}$. Passing to Γ-quotients gives a diffeomorphism $SM \rightarrow (E\Gamma \times S^n)/\Gamma$. The subspace $(E\Gamma \times \Omega)/\Gamma$ can be identified with the unit tangent vectors $v \in SM$ with the property that the geodesic generated by v goes out the conformal boundary $\partial \overline{M}$. We note that $(E\Gamma \times \Omega)/\Gamma$ is homotopy-equivalent to $\partial \overline{M}$.

Proposition 6.1. $\mathrm{KK}_i(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{K}^{n-i}(S^n, \Omega)$ *and* $\mathrm{KK}_i^{\Gamma}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{K}_{\Gamma}^{n-i}(S^n, \Omega)$.

Proof. We have $\mathrm{KK}_i(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{K}_i(\Lambda)$ [22, Theorem C]. By Alexander duality [22, Theorem B],

$$\mathrm{K}_i(\Lambda) \cong \mathrm{K}^{n-i}(S^n, \Omega). \tag{6.2}$$

(The statement of [22, Theorem B] is in terms of reduced homology and cohomology, but is equivalent to (6.2) if Ω is nonempty. The case when Ω is empty is more standard [43, Theorem 14.11].)

There is a spectral sequence to compute $\mathrm{KK}_{-i}^{\Gamma}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$, with differential of degree $+1$ and E_2-term given by $E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{H}^p(\Gamma, \mathrm{KK}_{-q}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})) = \mathrm{H}^p(\Gamma, \mathrm{K}_{-q}(\Lambda))$ [23, Theorem 6], [24, p. 199]. As $B\Gamma$ has a model that is a finite-dimensional CW-complex, there is no problem with convergence of the spectral sequence. By (6.2), $\mathrm{K}_{-q}(\Lambda) \cong \mathrm{K}^{n+q}(S^n, \Omega)$. Then $E_2^{p,q} \cong \mathrm{H}^p(\Gamma, \mathrm{K}^{n+q}(S^n, \Omega))$. This will be the same as E_2-term of the Leray spectral sequence [43, Theorem 15.27, Remarks 2 and 3 on p. 351-352] to compute $\mathrm{K}_{\Gamma}^{n+i}(S^n, \Omega)$ from

the fibration $((E\Gamma \times S^n)/\Gamma, (E\Gamma \times \Omega)/\Gamma) \to B\Gamma$, with the same differentials. Changing the sign of i gives the claim. □

The significance of Proposition 6.1 is that when $\Omega \neq \emptyset$, it indicates that it should be possible to construct elements of $\mathrm{KK}_*^\Gamma(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ by means of the smooth manifold Ω. More precisely, we have an isomorphism $\mathrm{KK}_n^\Gamma(C_0(\Omega); \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{KK}_n^\Gamma(C(S^n), C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ and a boundary map $\mathrm{KK}_n^\Gamma(C(S^n), C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{KK}_{n-1}^\Gamma(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$. We can then start with an explicit cycle (H, F) for $\mathrm{KK}_n^\Gamma(C_0(\Omega); \mathbb{C})$ and follow these maps to construct the corresponding cycle in $\mathrm{KK}_{n-1}^\Gamma(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$.

If $\Lambda = S^n$ then the signature class $\sigma \in \mathrm{KK}_n(C(S^n); \mathbb{C})$ satisfies $\sigma = C_n [S^n]$, where $[S^n] \in \mathrm{KK}_n(C(S^n); \mathbb{C})$ is the fundamental K-homology class, represented by the Dirac operator, and C_n is a power of 2. Under the isomorphism (6.2), σ goes over to $*\sigma = C_n [1] \in \mathrm{K}^0(S^n)$. Applying the Chern character gives $\mathrm{ch}(*\sigma) = C_n \cdot 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(S^n; \mathbb{Q})$.

There is a natural transformation $f : \mathrm{K}^*(X, A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \mathrm{K}^*(X, A; \mathbb{Q})$, where the right-hand-side is K-theory with coefficients. For general topological spaces, f need not be injective or surjective. If X and A are finite-dimensional CW-complexes then the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence implies that f is injective and has dense image in the sense that the annihilator of $\mathrm{Im}(f)$, in the dual space $(\mathrm{K}^*(X, A; \mathbb{Q}))^*$, vanishes. (Note that tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}$ does not commute with arbitrary direct products.) If in addition $\mathrm{K}^*(X, A; \mathbb{Q})$ is finite-dimensional then f is an isomorphism. From the proof of Proposition 6.1 there is an injective map $\mathrm{KK}_i^\Gamma(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \mathrm{K}_\Gamma^{n-i}(S^n, \Omega; \mathbb{Q})$ with dense image, which is an isomorphism when the right-hand-side is finite-dimensional.

The Chern character gives an isomorphism between $\mathrm{K}^*(X, A; \mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathrm{H}^*(X, A; \mathbb{Q})$, after 2-periodization of the latter, and similarly for $\mathrm{K}_\Gamma^*(X, A; \mathbb{Q})$. One can compute $\mathrm{H}_\Gamma^*(S^n, \Omega; \mathbb{Q})$ using the Leray spectral sequence, with E_2-term $E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{H}^p(\Gamma; \mathrm{H}^q(S^n, \Omega; \mathbb{Q}))$. If $\Lambda = S^n$ then $E_2^{0,0} = \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma; \mathrm{H}^0(S^n; \mathbb{Q})) = \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$. This term is unaffected by the differentials of the spectral sequence, and so it passes to the limit. In particular, the element $C_n \cdot 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(S^n; \mathbb{Q})$ is Γ-invariant and gives a nonzero element of $\mathrm{H}_\Gamma^0(S^n; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$. Hence there is a corresponding element of $\mathrm{K}_\Gamma^0(S^n; \mathbb{Q})$.

If $\Lambda \neq S^n$ and $n > 1$ then the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0(S^n, \Omega; \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{H}^0(S^n; \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\Omega; \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(S^n, \Omega; \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(S^n; \mathbb{Q}) \to \dots \tag{6.3}$$

implies that $\mathrm{H}^0(S^n, \Omega; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(S^n, \Omega; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}^{|\pi_0(\Omega)|}/\mathbb{Q}$. Then the $E_2^{p,0}$-term of the spectral sequence for $\mathrm{H}_\Gamma^*(S^n, \Omega; \mathbb{Q})$ vanishes, and the $E_2^{0,1}$-term is $\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma; \mathrm{H}^1(S^n; \mathbb{Q})) = \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma; \mathbb{Q}^{|\pi_0(\Omega)|}/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}^{|\pi_0(\partial \overline{M})|}/\mathbb{Q}$. This term is unaffected by the differentials of the spectral sequence, and so it passes to the limit to give a contribution to $\mathrm{H}_\Gamma^1(S^n, \Omega; \mathbb{Q})$. There is a corresponding component of $\mathrm{K}_\Gamma^1(S^n, \Omega; \mathbb{Q})$.

7. An even K-cycle on a manifold

In this section we consider an arbitrary oriented manifold X of dimension $2k$, equipped with a conformal structure. The Hilbert space H of square-integrable k-forms on X is conformally invariant. We consider a certain conformally invariant operator F that was introduced by Connes-Sullivan-Teleman in the compact case [12]. Under a technical assumption, we show that (H, γ, F) gives a K-cycle for $C_0(X)$ whose K-homology class is that

of the signature operator $d+d^*$. We then show the invariance of the K-homology class under quasiconformal homeomorphisms.

As a short digression, let us discuss why we use the operator F. It is well-known that the bounded K-cycle $\left(L^2(X;\Lambda^*), \frac{d+d^*}{\sqrt{1+\triangle}}\right)$ represents a nontrivial class in $K_{2k}(C_0(X))$. In the case $X = S^{2k}$, equipped with the action of a discrete group Γ by Möbius transformations, this operator gives rise to an element of $\mathrm{K}^{\Gamma}_{2k}(C(S^{2k});\mathbb{C})$ [23], but at the price of making some modifications. Namely, there is a natural action of Γ on the L^2-forms on S^{2k} which is unitary on $L^2(S^{2k};\Lambda^k)$ but is nonunitary on $L^2(S^{2k};\Lambda^*)$ (as we are using a Riemannian structure). One has to modify the Γ-action in order to make it unitary. After doing so, the Γ-action commutes with $\frac{d+d^*}{\sqrt{1+\triangle}}$ *up to compact operators*. In later sections we will take $X = \Omega = S^{2k} - \Lambda$, on which the relevant group Γ acts conformally. We want a K-cycle that *commutes* with Γ. The Connes-Sullivan-Teleman operator is well-suited for this purpose. In addition, the conformal *invariance* of the Connes-Sullivan-Teleman operator will lead to the quasiconformal invariance of its K-homology class. This will be important when we consider quasiconformal deformations of Γ-actions.

For notation, if X is a Riemannian manifold then we let $L^2(X;\Lambda^q)$ denote the square-integrable q-forms on X, and similarly for $L^p(X;\Lambda^q)$, $L^p_c(X;\Lambda^q)$, $C^\infty(X;\Lambda^q)$, $C^\infty_c(X;\Lambda^q)$ and $H^s(X;\Lambda^q)$, where the c-subscript denotes compact support.

7.1. **Some conformally-invariant constructions.** In this subsection we define the operator F and introduce the technical Assumption 7.11.

As for the role of Assumption 7.11, if X is compact then one can use a pseudodifferential calculus to see that (H, γ, F) gives a K-cycle for $C(X)$. If X is noncompact then there is a local pseudodifferential calculus on X, but it will be insufficient to verify the K-cycle conditions. Instead we use finite-propagation-speed arguments for Dirac-type operators. Assumption 7.11 effectively arises in interpolating between our operator F and the Dirac-type operator $D = d + d^*$.

Let X be an oriented $2k$-dimensional manifold with a given conformal class $[g]$ of Riemannian metrics.

Lemma 7.1. *There is a complete Riemannian metric in the conformal class.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that X is connected. Choose a Riemannian metric g_0 in the conformal class. There is an exhaustion $K_0 \subset K_1 \subset \ldots$ of X by smooth compact manifolds-with-boundary, with $K_i \subset \mathrm{int}(K_{i+1})$. For $i > 1$, choose a nonnegative smooth function ϕ_i with $\mathrm{supp}(\phi_i) \subset \mathrm{int}(K_{i+1}) - K_{i-2}$ so that for any path $\{\gamma_i(t)\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ from ∂K_{i-1} to ∂K_i, $\int_0^1 e^{\phi_i(\gamma_i(t))}\, g_0(\gamma_i', \gamma_i')^{1/2}\, dt \geq 1$. Put $\phi = \sum_i \phi_i$. Then $g = e^{2\phi}\, g_0$ is complete. □

We now make some constructions that are independent of the choice of the complete Riemannian metric g in the conformal class $[g]$. Consider the complex Hilbert space $H = L^2(X;\Lambda^k)$ of square-integrable k-forms on X, with its conformally-invariant inner product. There is an obvious action of $C_0(X)$ on H. Let γ be the conformally-invariant $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading operator on H given by

$$\gamma = i^k * . \tag{7.2}$$

Let $H = H_+ \oplus H_-$ be the corresponding orthogonal decomposition. There are operators

$$d \,:\, C_c^\infty(X;\Lambda^{k-1}) \to C_c^\infty(X;\Lambda^k) \tag{7.3}$$

and

$$d^* \,:\, C_c^\infty(X;\Lambda^{k+1}) \to C_c^\infty(X;\Lambda^k). \tag{7.4}$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Im}(d^*) \,=\, \gamma\ \mathrm{Im}(d). \tag{7.5}$$

There is a conformally-invariant orthogonal decomposition

$$H \,=\, \overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)} \,\oplus\, \overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)} \,\oplus \mathcal{H}, \tag{7.6}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} \,=\, \{\omega \in H \cap C^\infty(X;\Lambda^k) \,:\, d\omega \,=\, d^*\omega \,=\, 0\}. \tag{7.7}$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{H}$ is an orthogonal direct sum $\mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$ of its self-dual and anti-self-dual subspaces.

We note that the normed vector space $L_c^{\frac{2k}{k-1}}(X;\Lambda^{k-1})$ is conformally-invariant.

Lemma 7.8. $\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}$ *equals the closure of the image of* d *on* $\{\eta \in L_c^{\frac{2k}{k-1}}(X;\Lambda^{k-1}) \,:\, d\eta \in L^2(X;\Lambda^k)\}$.

Proof. Clearly $\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}$ is contained in the closure of the image of d on $\{\eta \in L_c^{\frac{2k}{k-1}}(X;\Lambda^{k-1}) \,:\, d\eta \in L^2(X;\Lambda^k)\}$. Conversely, suppose that $\eta \in L_c^{\frac{2k}{k-1}}(X;\Lambda^{k-1})$ has $d\eta \in L^2(X;\Lambda^k)$. Let $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be an even function with support in $[-1,1]$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(s)\,ds \,=\, 1$. Put $\triangle \,=\, dd^* + d^*d$. For $\epsilon \,>\, 0$, put

$$\widehat{\rho}(\epsilon^2\triangle) \,=\, \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{is\epsilon(d+d^*)}\ \rho(s)\,ds \,=\, \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(s\epsilon\sqrt{\triangle})\ \rho(s)\,ds. \tag{7.9}$$

By elliptic theory, $\widehat{\rho}(\epsilon^2\triangle)\eta \in C^\infty(X;\Lambda^{k-1})$. By finite propagation speed arguments [18, Proposition 10.3.1], the support of $\widehat{\rho}(\epsilon^2\triangle)\eta$ lies within distance ϵ of the essential support of η, so $\widehat{\rho}(\epsilon^2\triangle)\eta \in C_c^\infty(X;\Lambda^{k-1})$. Finally, by the functional calculus, $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} d\,(\widehat{\rho}(\epsilon^2\triangle)\eta) \,=\, \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \widehat{\rho}(\epsilon^2\triangle)d\eta \,=\, d\eta$ in $L^2(X;\Lambda^k)$. □

Define $F \in B(H)$ by

$$F(\omega) \,=\, \begin{cases} \omega & \text{if } \omega \in \overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}, \\ -\,\omega & \text{if } \omega \in \overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \in \mathcal{H}. \end{cases} \tag{7.10}$$

Then $F^* \,=\, F$ and F anticommutes with γ.

Assumption 7.11. *There is a complete Riemannian metric in the conformal class such that for each* $\omega \in \overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}$, *there is an* $\eta \in L^2(X;\Lambda^{k-1})$ *with* $d\eta \,=\, \omega$.

We do not know if Assumption 7.11 is really necessary for what follows, but it is required for our proofs. It is equivalent to saying that there is a gap away from zero in the spectrum of the Laplacian on $L^2(X; \Omega^k)$ [28, Proposition 1.2].

Example 1 : Assumption 7.11 is satisfied for the conformal class of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{2k}$, by taking the hyperbolic metric. More generally, it is satisfied when X is the interior of a compact manifold-with-boundary $\overline{X}$, and the conformal class comes from a smooth Riemannian metric g_0 on $\overline{X}$. One can see this by using the complete asymptotically-hyperbolic metric on X given by $g = \rho^{-2} g_0$, where near the boundary $\partial \overline{X}$, $\rho \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$ equals the distance function to the boundary with respect to g_0. Then the essential spectrum of the k-form Laplacian on X will be the same as that of the essential spectrum of the k-form Laplacian on H^{2k}, which has a gap away from zero.

Example 2 : Assumption 7.11 is satisfied for the conformal class of the standard Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^{2k}$. Consider a radially symmetric metric on $\mathbb{R}^{2k}$ of the form $g = \sigma^2(r) \, (dr^2 + r^2 \, d\theta^2)$, where $\sigma : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ is a smooth function satisfying

$$\sigma(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r < 1, \\ \frac{1}{r \ln r} & \text{if } r > 2. \end{cases} \tag{7.12}$$

From [15, Theorem 2.2], the essential spectrum of the k-form Laplacian on $(\mathbb{R}^{2k}, g)$ is bounded below by a positive constant. (In the case $k = 1$, $(\mathbb{R}^2, g)$ has a hyperbolic cusp at infinity.)

Example 3 : Suppose that a discrete group Γ acts properly and cocompactly on X. Considering metrics on X that pullback from the orbifold X/Γ, whether or not Assumption 7.11 is satisfied for these metrics is topological, i.e. independent of the metric on X/Γ.

7.2. **A conformally-invariant K-cycle.** In this subsection, under Assumption 7.11, we show that (H, γ, F) gives a K-cycle for $C_0(X)$ whose K-homology class is that of the signature operator $d + d^*$.

For notation, if H is a Hilbert space then we denote the bounded operators on H by $B(H)$, the compact operators on H by $K(H)$ and the Calkin algebra by $Q(H) = B(H)/K(H)$. We recall that a cycle for $\mathrm{KK}_0(C_0(X); \mathbb{C})$ is given by a triple (H, γ, F) where
1. H is a separable Hilbert space with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading operator $\gamma \in B(H)$,
2. There is a $*$-homomorphism $C_0(X) \to B(H)$ and
3. $F \in B(H)$ is such that $F\gamma + \gamma F = 0$ and for all $a \in C_0(X)$, we have $a(F^2 - I) \in K(H)$, $a(F - F^*) \in K(H)$ and $[F, a] \in K(H)$.

We now consider the triple (H, γ, F) of Section 7.1. We let $P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}$, $P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{H}}$ denote orthogonal projections onto $\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}$, $\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, respectively. We let G denote the Green's operator for $\triangle$ on $L^2(X; \Lambda^k)$, so $\triangle G = G \triangle = I - P_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Proposition 7.13. *For all $a \in C_0(X)$, $a(F^2 - I)$ is compact.*

Proof. We may assume that $a \in C_c^\infty(X)$. This is because for any $a \in C_0(X)$, there is a sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in $C_c^\infty(X)$ with $\lim_{i\to\infty} a_i = a$ in the sup norm. Then $a(F^2 - I)$ will be the norm limit of the compact operators $a_i(F^2 - I)$, and hence compact.

We have $I - F^2 = P_{\mathcal{H}}$. Let K be the support of a. Choose a complete Riemannian metric g in the given conformal class. Applying Gårding's inequality [18, 10.4.4] with $D = d + d^*$, there is a $c > 0$ so that for all $\omega \in H$,

$$c \parallel P_{\mathcal{H}}\omega \parallel_{H^1(K;\Lambda^k)} \leq \parallel P_{\mathcal{H}}\omega \parallel_{L^2(M;\Lambda^k)} \leq \parallel \omega \parallel_{L^2(M;\Lambda^k)} . \tag{7.14}$$

It follows that the map $\omega \to a(P_{\mathcal{H}}\omega)\big|_K$ is bounded from $L^2(M;\Lambda^k)$ to $H^1(K;\Lambda^k)$. By Rellich's Lemma [18, 10.4.3], the inclusion map from $H^1(K;\Lambda^k)$ to $L^2(M;\Lambda^k)$ is compact. The proposition follows. □

Proposition 7.15. *If Assumption 7.11 is satisfied then for all $a \in C_0(X)$, $[F, a]$ is compact.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the proposition for $a \in C_c^\infty(X)$. We may assume that a is real. Write the action of a on H as a (3×3)-matrix with respect to the decomposition (7.6). Then we must show that its off-diagonal entries are compact. By the self-adjointness of a, it is enough to show that $(I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}})\, aP_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}} : \overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)} \to \overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ and $(I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}})\, aP_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}} : \overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)} \to \overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ are compact.

Given $\eta \in C_c^\infty(X;\Lambda^{k-1})$,

$$\begin{aligned} a\, d\eta &= d(a\eta) - da \wedge \eta \\ &= d(a\eta) - da \wedge (P_{\mathcal{H}}\eta + dGd^*\eta + d^*Gd\eta) \\ &= d(a(\eta - P_{\mathcal{H}}\eta - dGd^*\eta)) - da \wedge G^{1/2}d^*G^{1/2}d\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{7.16}$$

Thus

$$(I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}})\, aP_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}} = -(I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}})\, da \wedge G^{1/2}d^*G^{1/2}P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}. \tag{7.17}$$

As $d^*G^{1/2}$ is bounded, to show that $(I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}})\, aP_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}$ is compact, it suffices to show that $da \wedge G^{1/2} : (\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)} \subset L^2(X;\Lambda^{k-1})) \to L^2(X;\Lambda^k)$ is compact. Put $D = d + d^*$, so $D^2 = \triangle$. By Assumption 7.11, there is an even function $\rho \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ so that when acting on $\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)} \subset L^2(X;\Lambda^{k-1})$, we have $G^{1/2} = \rho(D)$. We can assume that $\rho(x) = \frac{1}{|x|}$ for $|x|$ large. The compactness now follows from the fact that $da \wedge \rho(D) : L^2(X;\Lambda^{k-1}) \to L^2(X;\Lambda^k)$ is compact [18, Proposition 10.5.2].

Let $(da)_\sharp$ denote the vector field that is dual to da, with respect to g. Given $\eta \in C_c^\infty(X;\Lambda^{k+1})$,

$$\begin{aligned} a\, d^*\eta &= d^*(a\eta) + i_{(da)_\sharp}\eta \\ &= d^*(a\eta) + i_{(da)_\sharp}(P_{\mathcal{H}}\eta + dGd^*\eta + d^*Gd\eta) \\ &= d^*(a(\eta - P_{\mathcal{H}}\eta - d^*Gd\eta)) + i_{(da)_\sharp}G^{1/2}dG^{1/2}d^*\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

Thus

$$(I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}})\, aP_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}} = (I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}})\, i_{(da)_\sharp}G^{1/2}dG^{1/2}P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}}. \tag{7.19}$$

Following the previous line of proof, we conclude that $(I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}})\, aP_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}} : \overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)} \to \overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is compact. □

Thus the triple (H, γ, F) is a cycle for $\mathrm{KK}_0(C_0(X); \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(X); \mathbb{C})$. We extend γ to the usual $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on $L^2(X; \Lambda^*)$.

Proposition 7.20. *If Assumption 7.11 is satisfied then the cycles* (H, γ, F) *and* $(L^2(X; \Lambda^*), \gamma, \frac{d+d^*}{\sqrt{1+\triangle}})$ *represent the same class in* $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(X); \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Define $\overline{F} \in B(L^2(X; \Lambda^*))$ by

$$\overline{F}\omega = \begin{cases} \omega & \text{if } \omega \in L^2(X; \Omega^j),\ j < k, \\ F\omega & \text{if } \omega \in L^2(X; \Omega^k), \\ -\omega & \text{if } \omega \in L^2(X; \Omega^j),\ j > k. \end{cases} \tag{7.21}$$

Then $\overline{F}$ anticommutes with γ, and the cycle $(L^2(X; \Lambda^*), \gamma, \overline{F})$ differs from (H, γ, F) by the addition of a degenerate cycle. Hence they define the same class in $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(X); \mathbb{C})$. Now $\overline{F}$ commutes with $\frac{d+d^*}{\sqrt{1+\triangle}}$, so it anticommutes with $i\gamma\frac{d+d^*}{\sqrt{1+\triangle}}$. Then the cycles with $F_t = \cos(t)\overline{F} + i\sin(t)\gamma\frac{d+d^*}{\sqrt{1+\triangle}}$, $t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, homotop from $(L^2(X; \Lambda^*), \gamma, \overline{F})$ to $(L^2(X; \Lambda^*), \gamma, i\gamma\frac{d+d^*}{\sqrt{1+\triangle}})$. Finally, the cycles with $F_t = (i\gamma\cos(t) + \sin(t))\frac{d+d^*}{\sqrt{1+\triangle}}$, $t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, homotop from $(L^2(X; \Lambda^*), \gamma, i\gamma\frac{d+d^*}{\sqrt{1+\triangle}})$ to $(L^2(X; \Lambda^*), \gamma, \frac{d+d^*}{\sqrt{1+\triangle}})$. The proposition follows. □

Remark : If X is compact then Proposition 7.20 was previously proved in [12, p. 677] by a different argument.

7.3. **Quasiconformal invariance.** In this subsection we show that the K-homology class of (H, γ, F) is invariant under quasiconformal homeomorphisms of X.

Proposition 7.22. *If* $\phi : X_1 \to X_2$ *is an orientation-preserving* K*-quasiconformal homeomorphism, for some* $K < \infty$*, and* X_1 *and* X_2 *satisfy Assumption 7.11, then* $\phi_*[(H_1, \gamma_1, F_1)] = [(H_2, \gamma_2, F_2)]$ *in* $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(X_2); \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. The pushforward $\phi_*[(H_1, \gamma_1, F_1)] \in \mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(X_2); \mathbb{C})$ is represented by a K-cycle using H_1, γ_1 and F_1, where $C_0(X_2)$ acts on H_1 via the pullback $\phi^* : C_0(X_2) \to C_0(X_1)$. As ϕ is K-quasiconformal, $(\phi^{-1})^* H_1$ and H_2 are the same as topological vector spaces. By naturality, we can represent $\phi_*[(H_1, \gamma_1, F_1)]$ by letting $C_0(X_2)$ act on $(\phi^{-1})^* H_1$, equipped with the transported operator $(\phi^{-1})^* F_1$. From Lemma 7.8, $(\phi^{-1})^* \overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)} = \overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}$. Then $(\phi^{-1})^* F_1$ is the operator constructed using d and the transported grading operator $(\phi^{-1})^* \gamma_1$. Hence it suffices to work on a fixed manifold X and consider two conformal structures that are K-quasiconformal. Equivalently, we can consider the corresponding grading operators γ_1 and γ_2 [14, Lemma 2.3].

There is a measurable bundle homomorphism $\mu_+ : \Lambda^k_- \to \Lambda^k_+$ with $\sup_{x \in X} |\mu_+(x)| < 1$ so that if $\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu_+ \\ \mu_+^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then $\gamma_2 = (1+\mu)\gamma_1(1+\mu)^{-1}$ [12, Section 4α], [14, Section 2(i)]. For $t \in [0, 1]$, put $\gamma(t) = (1+t\mu)\gamma_1(1+t\mu)^{-1}$. The corresponding inner product space has

$$\langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle(t) = \langle \omega_1, (1-t\mu)\,(1+t\mu)^{-1}\,\omega_2 \rangle(0). \tag{7.23}$$

The operator $F(t)$ is one on $\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}$, minus one on $\gamma(t)\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}$ and zero on $\left(\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)} \oplus \gamma(t)\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}\right)^{\perp}$.

The Hilbert spaces $\{H(t)\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ form a Hilbert $C([0,1])$-module. They all have the same underlying topological vector space. We claim that the operators $\{F(t)\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ are norm-continuous in t. For this, it suffices to show that the projection operators $P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}$ and $P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}}$ are norm-continuous in t. As $\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}$ is independent of t, [19, Lemma 6.2] implies that $P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}$ is norm-continuous in t. As $\mathrm{Ker}(d) = \overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is independent of t, it also follows from [19, Lemma 6.2] that $P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}} + P_{\mathcal{H}}$ is norm-continuous in t. Then $P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}} = I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}} - P_{\mathcal{H}}$ is norm-continuous in t.

The operators $\gamma(t)$ are also norm-continuous in t. In order to show that $\{(H(t), \gamma(t), F(t))\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ is a homotopy of K-cycles, it now suffices to show that for all $a \in C_0(X)$, $[F(t), a]$ and $a(F(t)^2 - 1)$ are compact operators. We may assume that $a \in C_c^\infty(X)$. From Propositions 7.13 and 7.15, $[F(0), a]$ and $a(F(0)^2-1)$ are compact. Using the fact that $\frac{d}{dt}d^* = \left[\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\,\gamma^{-1}, d^*\right]$, one can compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}} &= -\,P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}\,\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\,\gamma^{-1}\,(I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}),\\
\frac{d}{dt}P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}} &= (I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}})\,\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\,\gamma^{-1}\,P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)^*}},\\
\frac{d}{dt}P_{\mathcal{H}} &= -\,P_{\mathcal{H}}\,\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\,\gamma^{-1}\,P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}} + P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}\,\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\,\gamma^{-1}\,P_{\mathcal{H}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.24}
$$

To compute $\frac{d}{dt}[F(t), a]$, it suffices to compute $\frac{d}{dt}[P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}, a]$ and $\frac{d}{dt}[P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}}, a]$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\left[P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}, a\right] &= -\left[P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}\,\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\,\gamma^{-1}\,(I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}), a\right]\\
&= -\left[P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}, a\right]\,\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\,\gamma^{-1}\,(I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}) - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}\,\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\,\gamma^{-1}\left[(I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}), a\right]\\
&= -\left[P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}, a\right]\,\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\,\gamma^{-1}\,(I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}) + P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}\,\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\,\gamma^{-1}\left[P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}, a\right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.25}
$$

From the proof of Proposition 7.15, at $t = 0$, $\left[P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}(0), a\right]$ is compact. From (7.25), we can write $\left[P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}(t), a\right] = U(t)\,\left[P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}(0), a\right]\,V(t)$, where $U(0) = V(0) = I$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dU}{dt} &= P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}(t)\,\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\,\gamma^{-1}\,U(t),\\
\frac{dV}{dt} &= -\,V(t)\,\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\,\gamma^{-1}\,(I - P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}(t)).
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.26}
$$

The solution of the first equation in (7.26), for example, is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(t) = I &+ \int_0^t P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}(s)\,\frac{d\gamma}{ds}\,\gamma^{-1}(s)\,ds +\\
&\int_{t\geq s_1\geq s_2\geq 0} P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}(s_1)\,\frac{d\gamma}{ds_1}\,\gamma^{-1}(s_1)\,P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}(s_2)\,\frac{d\gamma}{ds_2}\,\gamma^{-1}(s_2)\,ds_1\,ds_2 + \ldots
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.27}
$$

The series in (7.27) is convergent because $\frac{d\gamma}{ds}\,\gamma^{-1}(s)$ is uniformly bounded for $s \in [0, t]$. One can write a similar series for $U(t)^{-1}$, showing that $U(t)$ is invertible.

Hence $\left[P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}(t), a\right]$ is compact for all $t \in [0,1]$. A similar argument shows that $\left[P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}}(t), a\right]$ is compact for all $t \in [0,1]$. Thus $[F(t), a]$ is compact for all $t \in [0,1]$.

Next, $a(F(t)^2 - 1) = -aP_{\mathcal{H}}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} aP_{\mathcal{H}} &= a \left(- P_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \gamma^{-1} P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}} + P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}} \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \gamma^{-1} P_{\mathcal{H}} \right) \\ &= - a P_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \gamma^{-1} P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}} + [a, P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}] \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \gamma^{-1} P_{\mathcal{H}} + P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}} \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \gamma^{-1} a P_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.28}$$

Putting $M(0) = N(0) = I$ and solving

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dM}{dt} &= - M(t) P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}(t) \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \gamma^{-1}, \\ \frac{dN}{dt} &= \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \gamma^{-1} P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d^*)}}(t) N(t), \end{aligned} \tag{7.29}$$

we can write

$$M(t) a P_{\mathcal{H}}(t) N(t) - a P_{\mathcal{H}}(0) = \int_0^t M(s) [a, P_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(d)}}(s)] \frac{d\gamma}{ds} \gamma^{-1} P_{\mathcal{H}}(s) N(s) \, ds. \tag{7.30}$$

As $M(t)$ and $N(t)$ are invertible and $aP_{\mathcal{H}}(0)$ is compact, it follows that $aP_{\mathcal{H}}(t)$ is compact for all $t \in [0,1]$. □

Corollary 7.31. *If $\phi : X_1 \to X_2$ is an orientation-preserving K-quasiconformal homeomorphism, for some $K < \infty$, and X_1 satisfies Assumption 7.11, then (H_2, γ_2, F_2) defines a cycle for* $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(X_2); \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. This follows from the proof of Proposition 7.22. □

Corollary 7.32. [19, Theorem 1.1], [12, p. 678] *If $\phi : X_1 \to X_2$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism between compact oriented smooth manifolds then the pushforward of the signature class of X_1 coincides with the signature class of X_2, in* $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C(X_2); \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. If $\dim(X) \neq 4$ then there is an orientation-preserving quasiconformal homeomorphism from X_1 to X_2 that is isotopic to ϕ [41], and the corollary follows from Proposition 7.22. If $\dim(X) = 4$ then one can instead consider $X \times S^2$. □

Remark : If $X' = X - Z$, where Z has Hausdorff dimension at most $2k-2$, then the cycle (H, γ, F) for $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(X); \mathbb{C})$ also defines a signature cycle for $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(X'); \mathbb{C})$. This is because the triple (H, γ, F) is the same as the corresponding triple for X', and an element $a \in C_0(X')$ extends by zero to an element of $C_0(X)$. For example, writing $\mathbb{R}^{2k} = S^{2k} - \mathrm{pt}$, we obtain a cycle (H, γ, F) for $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2k}); \mathbb{C})$.

7.4. **When the limit set is the entire sphere, even-dimensional.** In this subsection we use F to construct an equivariant K-cycle for $C(\Lambda)$ when $\Lambda = S^{2k}$.

Suppose that $\Lambda = S^{2k}$. The triple (H, γ, F) of Section 7.1 is Γ-equivariant and so gives a cycle for a class $[(H, \gamma, F)] \in \mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma}_{2k}(C(S^{2k}); \mathbb{C})$. As the nonequivariant K-homology class represented by (H, γ, F) is the signature class, it follows from the discussion of Section 6.1 that $[(H, \gamma, F)]$ is a nontorsion element of $\mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma}_{2k}(C(S^{2k}); \mathbb{C})$

8. From even cycles to odd cycles

In this section we consider a manifold X as in Section 7 equipped with a partial compactification $\overline{X}$. Putting $\partial\overline{X} = \overline{X} - X$, we give a sufficient condition for the triple (H, γ, F) to extend to a cycle for $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(\overline{X}), C(\partial\overline{X}); \mathbb{C})$. We then consider the boundary map $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(\overline{X}), C(\partial\overline{X}); \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}(C(\partial\overline{X}); \mathbb{C})$. We describe the image of the cycle (H, γ, F) as an element of $\mathrm{Ext}(C(\partial\overline{X}))$. If $\partial\overline{X}$ is a manifold then the relevant Hilbert space turns out to be the exact k-forms on $\partial\overline{X}$ of a certain regularity. In the special case when $\partial\overline{X} = S^{2k-1}$, we show that the Hilbert space of such $H^{-1/2}$-regular forms is Möbius-invariant, along with the Ext element.

A second technical assumption arises in this section, which will again be satisfied in the cases that are relevant for limit sets.

8.1. **A relative K-cycle.** In this subsection we start with a partial compactification $\overline{X}$ of X. Applying the boundary map to the K-cycle (H, γ, F) for $C_0(X)$ gives a class in $\mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}(C(\partial\overline{X}); \mathbb{C})$. We show the compatibility of this map with quasiconformal homeomorphisms. If X is the domain of discontinuity Ω for Γ then we discuss the twisting of this construction by the pullback of a vector bundle on Ω/Γ.

Let $\overline{X}$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space that contains X as an open dense subset. Put $\partial\overline{X} = \overline{X} - X$, which we assume to be compact. There is a short exact sequence of C^*-algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow C_0(X) \longrightarrow C_0(\overline{X}) \longrightarrow C(\partial\overline{X}) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{8.1}$$

From [6, Theorem (14.24)], [25] or [18, Theorem 5.4.5], there is an isomorphism $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(X); \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(\overline{X}), C(\partial\overline{X}); \mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, there is a boundary map $\partial : \mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(\overline{X}), C(\partial\overline{X}); \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}(C(\partial\overline{X}); \mathbb{C})$.

Let $e \in M_N(C^\infty(X))$ be a projection. If (H, γ, F) is a K-cycle for $C_0(X)$ then there is a new K-cycle (H_e, γ_e, F_e), where $H_e = H^N e$, $\gamma_e = e\gamma e$ and $F_e = eFe$. In this way, we obtain a map $\mathrm{K}^0(X) \to \mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(X); \mathbb{C})$. Composing with the boundary map gives a map $\mathrm{K}^0(X) \to \mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(X); \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}(C(\partial\overline{X}); \mathbb{C})$.

In this paragraph we take $X = \Omega \neq \emptyset$ and $\overline{X} = S^{2k}$, so $\partial\overline{X} = \Lambda$. If X satisfies Assumption 7.11 then we have the K-cycle (H, γ, F) of Section 7.2. Let $p \in M_N(C^\infty(\Omega/\Gamma))$ be a projection. If $\pi : \Omega \to \Omega/\Gamma$ is the quotient map then $e = \pi^* p$ is a projection in $M_N(C^\infty(\Omega))$. Applying the preceding construction and taking into account the Γ-equivariance, we obtain maps

$$\mathrm{K}^0(\Omega) \to \mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C}) \tag{8.2}$$

and

$$\mathrm{K}^0(\Omega/\Gamma) \to \mathrm{KK}^\Gamma_{2k-1}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C}). \tag{8.3}$$

With reference to Proposition 6.1, the maps (8.2) and (8.3) are rationally the same as the connecting maps

$$\mathrm{K}^0(\Omega) \to \mathrm{K}^1(S^{2k}, \Omega) \cong \mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C}) \tag{8.4}$$

and

$$\mathrm{K}^0(\Omega/\Gamma) \cong \mathrm{K}^0_\Gamma(\Omega) \to \mathrm{K}^1_\Gamma(S^{2k}, \Omega) \cong \mathrm{KK}^\Gamma_{2k-1}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C}). \tag{8.5}$$

We obtain a rational instead of integral statement because the K-homology classes defined by the signature and Dirac operator on S^{2k}, the latter being the fundamental class, are only rationally equivalent.

Returning to general X, let X' be another manifold as in Section 7.1, with partial compactification $\overline{X}'$ and boundary $\partial\overline{X}'$. Let $\phi : \overline{X}' \to \overline{X}$ be a homeomorphism that restricts to a K-quasiconformal homeomorphism from X' to X. By naturality, there is an isomorphism $\left(\phi\big|_{\partial\overline{X}'}\right)_* : \mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}(C(\partial\overline{X}');\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}(C(\partial\overline{X});\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that X' satisfies Assumption 7.11. By Proposition 7.13, Proposition 7.15 and Corollary 7.31, there are well-defined signature classes $[(H',\gamma',F')] \in \mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(X');\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(\overline{X}'), C(\partial\overline{X}');\mathbb{C})$ and $[(H,\gamma,F)] \in \mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(X);\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(\overline{X}), C(\partial\overline{X});\mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 8.6. $\left(\phi\big|_{\partial\overline{X}'}\right)_* (\partial[(H',\gamma',F')]) = \partial[(H,\gamma,F)]$ *in* $\mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}(C(\partial\overline{X});\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(\overline{X}'), C(\partial\overline{X}'));\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\phi_*} & \mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(\overline{X}), C(\partial\overline{X});\mathbb{C}) \\ \partial\downarrow & & \partial\downarrow \\ \mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}(C(\partial\overline{X}');\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\left(\phi\big|_{\partial\overline{X}'}\right)_*} & \mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}(C(\partial\overline{X});\mathbb{C}), \end{array} \tag{8.7}$$

where the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms. From Proposition 7.22, $\phi_*([(H',\gamma',F')]) = [(H,\gamma,F)]$. The claim follows from the commutativity of the diagram. □

8.2. **The induced structure on the boundary.** In this subsection we consider a manifold X as before with a compactification $\overline{X}$. With an assumption on $\overline{X}$, related to the Higson corona of X, we show that the K-cycle (H,γ,F) for $C_0(X)$ extends to a K-cycle for $(C_0(\overline{X}), C(\partial\overline{X}))$. We describe the Baum-Douglas boundary map in this case.

Let X be a manifold as in Section 8.1 satisfying Assumption 7.11, with a partial compactification $\overline{X}$. We recall that a relative K-cycle for the pair $(C_0(\overline{X}), C(\partial\overline{X}))$ is given by a K-cycle (H,γ,F) for the ideal $C_0(X)$ so that the action of $C_0(X)$ on H extends to an action of $C_0(\overline{X})$, and for all $a \in C_0(\overline{X})$, $[F,a] \in K(H)$.

We wish to extend the K-cycle of Section 7.2 for $C_0(X)$ to a K-cycle for $(C_0(\overline{X}), C(\partial\overline{X}))$. There is an evident action of $C_0(\overline{X})$ on H. We will need an additional condition on $\overline{X}$.

Assumption 8.8. *With respect to a Riemannian metric on X satisfying Assumption 7.11, for each $a \in C_0(\overline{X})$, $a\big|_X$ is the norm limit of a sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of bounded elements of $C^\infty(X)$ satisfying $|da_i| \in C_0(X)$.*

If $\overline{X}$ is compact then Assumption 8.8 is equivalent to saying that $\partial\overline{X}$ is a quotient of the Higson corona, the latter being defined using the given Riemannian metric on X.

Example 1′ : With reference to Example 1, Assumption 8.8 is satisfied by an asymptotically hyperbolic metric on X.

Example 2′ : With reference to Example 2, Assumption 8.8 is satisfied when $\overline{X} = S^2$ is the one-point-compactification of X.

Proposition 8.9. *If Assumption 8.8 is satisfied and (H, γ, F) is the cycle for $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(X); \mathbb{C})$ from Section 7.2 then (H, γ, F) is also a cycle for $\mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(\overline{X}), C(\partial\overline{X}); \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. We must show that for all $a \in C_0(\overline{X})$, $[F, a]$ is compact. We may assume that $a\big|_X$ is smooth and $|da| \in C_0(X)$. Then the proof of Proposition 7.15 applies. □

The boundary map $\partial : \mathrm{KK}_{2k}(C_0(\overline{X}), C(\partial\overline{X}); \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}(C(\partial\overline{X}); \mathbb{C})$ can be explicitly described as follows. Given $a \in C(\partial\overline{X})$, let a' be an extension of it to $C_0(\overline{X})$. Then $P_{\mathcal{H}_\pm} a' P_{\mathcal{H}_\pm}$ is an element of $B(\mathcal{H}_\pm)$. The corresponding element $[P_{\mathcal{H}_\pm} a' P_{\mathcal{H}_\pm}]$ of the Calkin algebra $Q(\mathcal{H}_\pm)$ is independent of the choice of extension and defines an algebra homomorphism $\sigma_\pm : C_0(\partial\overline{X}) \to Q(\mathcal{H}_\pm)$. Then $\partial[(H, \gamma, F)]$ is represented by the Ext class $[\sigma_+] - [\sigma_-]$ [6, Definition (4.6), Theorems (14.23) and (14.24)], [18, Remark 8.5.7].

8.3. **The case of a smooth manifold-with-boundary.** In this subsection we consider the case when $\overline{X}$ is a smooth manifold-with-boundary. We construct a Hilbert space $H_{\partial\overline{X}}$ of exact k-forms on $\partial\overline{X}$ as boundary values of L^2-harmonic k-forms on X. There is a natural $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on the Hilbert space coming from a diffeomorphism-invariant Hermitian form. In the case when $\overline{X} = [0, \infty) \times \partial\overline{X}$, we show that the inner product on $H_{\partial\overline{X}}$ is the $H^{-1/2}$ inner product.

Suppose that $\overline{X}^{2k}$ is a smooth oriented manifold-with-boundary with compact boundary $\partial\overline{X}$. Let g_0 be a smooth Riemannian metric on $\overline{X}$ and consider the corresponding conformal class on X. We assume that the reduced L^2-cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^k_{(2)}(\overline{X}; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{H}^k_{(2)}(\overline{X}, \partial\overline{X}; \mathbb{R})$ vanishes. (Note that $\mathrm{H}^k_{(2)}(\overline{X}; \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{H}^k_{(2)}(\overline{X}, \partial\overline{X}; \mathbb{R})$ have harmonic representatives defined using boundary conditions, and are generally much smaller than $\mathcal{H}$.)

Let $i : \partial\overline{X} \to \overline{X}$ be the boundary inclusion. We note that by conformal invariance, the L^2-harmonic k-forms on X can be computed using the metric g_0 which is smooth up to the boundary $\partial\overline{X}$. It follows that $i^* : \mathcal{H} \to H^{-1/2}(\partial\overline{X}; \Lambda^k)$ is well-defined [20, B.2.7-B.2.9].

Proposition 8.10. *Given $\omega \in \mathrm{Im}\left(d : C^\infty(\partial\overline{X}; \Lambda^{k-1}) \to C^\infty(\partial\overline{X}; \Lambda^k)\right)$, there is a unique $\omega' \in \mathcal{H}$ so that $i^*\omega' = \omega$.*

Proof. Write $\omega = d\eta$ for some $\eta \in C^\infty(\partial\overline{X}; \Lambda^{k-1})$. Let $\eta' \in C^\infty_c(\overline{X}; \Lambda^{k-1})$ satisfy $i^*\eta' = \eta$. Let G be the Green's operator for the Laplacian on $\overline{X}$, as defined using g_0, with relative boundary conditions. In particular, $i^* \circ G = 0$. If ω' exists then it satisfies $d(\omega' - d\eta') = 0$, $d^*(\omega' - d\eta') = -d^*d\eta'$ and $i^*(\omega' - d\eta') = 0$. These equations would imply $\triangle(\omega' - d\eta') = -dd^*d\eta'$, which has the solution $\omega' - d\eta' = -Gdd^*d\eta'$. This motivates putting $\omega' = d(\eta' - Gd^*d\eta')$, which works. Note that ω' is square-integrable with respect to g_0, and hence lies in $L^2(X; \Lambda^k)$.

If ω'_1 and ω'_2 both satisfy the conclusion of the proposition then $d(\omega'_1 - \omega'_2) = d^*(\omega'_1 - \omega'_2) = i^*(\omega'_1 - \omega'_2) = 0$. The cohomology assumption then implies that $\omega'_1 = \omega'_2$. □

Definition 8.11. *The Hilbert space $H_{\partial\overline{X}}$ is the completion of $\mathrm{Im}\left(d : C^\infty(\partial\overline{X}; \Lambda^{k-1}) \to C^\infty(\partial\overline{X}; \Lambda^k)\right)$ with respect to the norm $\omega \to \| \omega' \|_{\mathcal{H}}$.*

Corollary 8.12. *If $i^* : \mathrm{H}^k(\overline{X}; \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{H}^k(\partial\overline{X}; \mathbb{C})$ is the zero map then pullback gives an isometric isomorphism $i^* : \mathcal{H} \to H_{\partial\overline{X}}$.*

Proof. Given $\omega \in \mathcal{H}$, it represents a class $[\omega] \in \mathrm{H}^k(\overline{X})$. By assumption, $[i^*\omega]$ vanishes in $\mathrm{H}^k(\partial\overline{X})$. Hence $i^*\omega \in \mathrm{Im}(d)$. The lemma now follows from Proposition 8.10. □

Definition 8.13. *The operator $T \in B\left(H_{\partial \overline{X}}\right)$ is given by*

$$T\omega = \begin{cases} \omega & \text{if } \omega \in i^* \mathcal{H}_+, \\ -\omega & \text{if } \omega \in i^* \mathcal{H}_-. \end{cases} \tag{8.14}$$

Proposition 8.15. *For all $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathrm{Im}\left(d : C^\infty(\partial \overline{X}; \Lambda^{k-1}) \to C^\infty(\partial \overline{X}; \Lambda^k)\right)$,*

$$\langle T\omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle = i^k \int_{\partial \overline{X}} \eta_1 \wedge \overline{\omega_2}, \tag{8.16}$$

where $\eta_1 \in C^\infty(\partial \overline{X}; \Lambda^{k-1})$ is an arbitrary solution of $d\eta_1 = \omega_1$.

Proof. Suppose that $\omega_1 = i^* \omega_1'$ and $\omega_2 = i^* \omega_2'$, with $\omega_1', \omega_2' \in \mathcal{H}$ being uniquely determined. Let $\eta_1' \in C_c^\infty(\overline{X}; \Lambda^{k-1})$ satisfy $i^* \eta_1' = \eta_1$. Then as in the proof of Proposition 8.10, $\omega_1' = d\left(\eta_1' - G d^* d \eta_1'\right)$.

Suppose that $\omega_2' \in \mathcal{H}_\pm$. Then $* \omega_2' = \pm i^{-k} \omega_2'$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T\omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle &= \langle \omega_1, T\omega_2 \rangle = \pm \int_{\overline{X}} \omega_1' \wedge \overline{* \omega_2'} = i^k \int_{\overline{X}} \omega_1' \wedge \overline{\omega_2'} \\ &= i^k \int_{\overline{X}} d\left(\eta_1' - G d^* d \eta_1'\right) \wedge \overline{\omega_2'} = i^k \int_{\partial \overline{X}} i^* \left(\eta_1' - G d^* d \eta_1'\right) \wedge i^* \overline{\omega_2'} \\ &= i^k \int_{\partial \overline{X}} \eta_1 \wedge \overline{\omega_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.17}$$

To see directly that (8.16) is independent of the choice of η_1, suppose that η_1 and $\widetilde{\eta}_1$ satisfy $d\eta_1 = d\widetilde{\eta}_1 = \omega_1$. Write $\omega_2 = d\eta_2$. Then

$$\int_{\partial \overline{X}} (\eta_1 - \widetilde{\eta}_1) \wedge \overline{\omega_2} = \int_{\partial \overline{X}} (\eta_1 - \widetilde{\eta}_1) \wedge d\overline{\eta_2} = (-1)^k \int_{\partial \overline{X}} d(\eta_1 - \widetilde{\eta}_1) \wedge \overline{\eta_2} = 0. \tag{8.18}$$

□

Proposition 8.19. *Let $\partial \overline{X}$ be a closed oriented $(2k-1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold. If $\overline{X} = [0, \infty) \times \partial \overline{X}$ then*

$$H_{\partial \overline{X}} = \mathrm{Im}\left(d : H^{1/2}(\partial \overline{X}; \Lambda^{k-1}) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial \overline{X}; \Lambda^k)\right). \tag{8.20}$$

Proof. The Künneth formula for reduced L^2-cohomology, along with the fact that $[0, \infty)$ has vanishing absolute and relative reduced L^2-cohomology, implies that $\overline{X}$ has vanishing absolute and relative reduced L^2-cohomology. Hence the hypotheses of Proposition 8.10 are satisfied.

If $p : \overline{X} \to \partial \overline{X}$ is projection and $\omega \in C^\infty(\partial \overline{X}; \Lambda^k)$ then we will abuse notation to also write ω for $p^* \omega$. Let $\widehat{d}$ be the exterior derivative on $\partial \overline{X}$ and let $\widehat{*}$ be the Hodge duality operator on $\partial \overline{X}$. Let t be the coordinate on $[0, \infty)$. Then

$$|\omega|^2 \, d\mathrm{vol}_{\overline{X}} = \omega \wedge \widehat{*} \omega \wedge dt = (-1)^{k-1} \, \omega \wedge dt \wedge \widehat{*} \omega. \tag{8.21}$$

Hence $* \omega = (-1)^{k-1} \, dt \wedge \widehat{*} \omega$.

Suppose that $\omega \in C^\infty(\partial \overline{X}; \Lambda^k)$ satisfies $\widehat{d}\, \omega = 0$ and

$$(-i)^k \, \widehat{d}\, \widehat{*} \omega = \lambda \, \omega \tag{8.22}$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\lambda > 0$ then $e^{-\lambda t}\left(\omega - (-i)^k\, dt \wedge \widehat{*}\omega\right) \in H_+$ and

$$d\left(e^{-\lambda t}\left(\omega - (-i)^k\, dt \wedge \widehat{*}\omega\right)\right) = 0. \tag{8.23}$$

From the self-duality of $e^{-\lambda t}\left(\omega - (-i)^k\, dt \wedge \widehat{*}\omega\right)$, we also have

$$d^*\left(e^{-\lambda t}\left(\omega - (-i)^k\, dt \wedge \widehat{*}\omega\right)\right) = 0. \tag{8.24}$$

Thus $\omega \in i^*\mathcal{H}_+$. Furthermore, from (8.22),

$$\widehat{d}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\,(-i)^k\,\widehat{*}\omega\right) = \omega. \tag{8.25}$$

Then from Proposition 8.15,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\omega,\omega\rangle &= i^k \int_{\partial\overline{X}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\,(-i)^k\,\widehat{*}\omega\right) \wedge \overline{\omega} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\int_{\partial\overline{X}} \widehat{*}\omega \wedge \overline{\omega} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\int_{\partial\overline{X}} \overline{\omega} \wedge \widehat{*}\omega \\ &= \overline{\frac{1}{\lambda}\int_{\partial\overline{X}} \omega \wedge \overline{\widehat{*}\omega}} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\int_{\partial\overline{X}} \omega \wedge \overline{\widehat{*}\omega}.\end{aligned} \tag{8.26}$$

If $\lambda < 0$ then $e^{\lambda t}\left(\omega + (-i)^k\, dt \wedge \widehat{*}\omega\right) \in H_-$ and

$$d\left(e^{-\lambda t}\left(\omega + (-i)^k\, dt \wedge \widehat{*}\omega\right)\right) = 0, \tag{8.27}$$

so $\omega \in i^*\mathcal{H}_-$. A similar calculation gives $\langle\omega,\omega\rangle = -\frac{1}{\lambda}\int_{\partial\overline{X}} \omega \wedge \overline{\widehat{*}\omega}$. Thus in either case,

$$\langle\omega,\omega\rangle = \frac{1}{|\lambda|}\int_{\partial\overline{X}} \omega \wedge \overline{\widehat{*}\omega}. \tag{8.28}$$

As the closure of $\operatorname{Im}\left(d : C^\infty(\partial\overline{X};\Lambda^{k-1}) \to C^\infty(\partial\overline{X};\Lambda^k)\right)$ has an orthonormal basis given by such eigenforms, the proposition follows. □

8.4. **Möbius-invariant analysis on odd-dimensional spheres.** In this subsection we specialize the previous section to the case $X = B^{2k}$. We show that the Hilbert space $H_{\partial\overline{X}}$ is the $H^{-1/2}$ space of exact k-forms on S^{2k-1}. We show that Möbius transformations of S^{2k-1} act by isometries on $H_{\partial\overline{X}}$, and quasiconformal homeomorphisms of S^{2k-1} act boundedly on $H_{\partial\overline{X}}$.

Take $X = H^{2k}$, the upper hemisphere in S^{2k}, and $\overline{X} = \overline{H^{2k}}$. Then $\mathrm{H}^k_{(2)}(\overline{X};\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{H}^k_{(2)}(\overline{X},\partial\overline{X};\mathbb{R}) = 0$ and $i^* : \mathrm{H}^k(\overline{X};\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{H}^k(\partial\overline{X};\mathbb{C})$ is the zero map, so we can apply Proposition 8.10 and Corollary 8.12.

Corollary 8.29. *(c.f.* [9, Proposition 3.2]*) The group* $\mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$ *acts isometrically on*

$$H_{S^{2k-1}} = \operatorname{Im}\left(d : H^{1/2}(S^{2k-1};\Lambda^{k-1}) \to H^{-1/2}(S^{2k-1};\Lambda^k)\right) \tag{8.30}$$

preserving T*.*

Proof. If $x_0 \in H^{2k}$ is a basepoint then $\overline{H^{2k}} - x_0$ is conformally equivalent to $[0,\infty)\times S^{2k-1}$. The same calculations as in the proof of Proposition 8.19 show that

$$H_{S^{2k-1}} = \operatorname{Im}\left(d : H^{1/2}(S^{2k-1};\Lambda^{k-1}) \to H^{-1/2}(S^{2k-1};\Lambda^k)\right). \tag{8.31}$$

As $\mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$ acts isometrically on $\mathcal{H}$, it acts isometrically on $H_{S^{2k-1}}$. The Hermitian form (8.16) is preserved by all orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of $\partial\overline{X}$. □

Corollary 8.32. *The group* $\mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$ *acts isometrically on* $H^{1/2}(S^{2k-1}; \Lambda^{k-1})/\operatorname{Ker}(d)$*, preserving the Hermitian form*

$$S(\omega_1, \omega_2) \, = \, i^k \int_{S^{2k-1}} \omega_1 \wedge d\overline{\omega_2}. \tag{8.33}$$

Proof. The dual space to $\mathrm{Im}\left(d \, : \, H^{1/2}(S^{2k-1}; \Lambda^{k-1}) \to H^{-1/2}(S^{2k-1}; \Lambda^k)\right)$ is $H^{1/2}(S^{2k-1}; \Lambda^{k-1})/\operatorname{Ker}(d)$, which inherits an isometric action of $\mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$. The inner product on $H^{1/2}(S^{2k-1}; \Lambda^{k-1})/\operatorname{Ker}(d)$ is given by $\omega \to \langle d\omega, G^{1/2} d\omega \rangle_{L^2}$. The Hermitian form S is preserved because of its diffeomorphism invariance. □

We do not claim that the inner product on $H^{1/2}(S^{2k-1}; \Lambda^{k-1})/\operatorname{Ker}(d)$ is conformally invariant, i.e. invariant with respect to a conformal change of the metric.

We remark that in the case $k = 2$, $S(\omega, \omega)$ can be identified (up to a sign) with the helicity, or asymptotic self-linking number, of a vector field ξ satisfying $i_\xi \, d\,\mathrm{vol} \, = \, d\omega$ [4, Definition III.1.14, Theorem II.4.4].

Proposition 8.34. *An orientation-preserving quasiconformal homeomorphism* $\phi : S^{2k-1} \to S^{2k-1}$ *acts boundedly by pullback on* $H^{1/2}(S^{2k-1}; \Lambda^{k-1})/\operatorname{Ker}(d)$*, preserving the Hermitian form* S*.*

Proof. The method of proof is that of [32, Corollary 3.2], which proves the proposition in the (quasisymmetric) case $k = 1$. By composing ϕ with a Möbius transformation, we may assume that ϕ has a fixed point $x_\infty \in S^{2k-1}$. Performing a linear fractional transformation to send x_∞ to infinity, we may replace S^{2k-1} by $\mathbb{R}^{2k-1}$. Given $\omega \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{2k-1}; \Lambda^{k-1})/\operatorname{Ker}(d)$, consider its extensions $\omega' \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{2k}_+; \Lambda^{k-1})/\operatorname{Ker}(d)$. Then

$$\| \, \omega \, \| = \inf_{\omega' \, : \, i^* \omega' = \omega} \| \, d\omega' \, \|_{L^2} \, . \tag{8.35}$$

There is an extension ϕ' of ϕ to a K-quasiconformal homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^{2k}_+$, for some $K < \infty$ [46]. The proposition now follows from the fact that ϕ' acts boundedly by pullback on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2k}_+; \Lambda^k)$. □

8.5. **The boundary signature operator as an Ext class.** With $\overline{X}$ as in Section 8.3, we show that the image of the cycle (H, γ, F) under the Baum-Douglas boundary map can be described intrinsically in terms of $\partial \overline{X}$. It is given by certain homomorphisms from $C(\partial \overline{X})$ to the Calkin algebra of $H_{\partial \overline{X}}$. If $\partial \overline{X} \, = \, S^{2k-1}$ then we show that the homomorphisms are equivariant with respect to Möbius transformations of S^{2k-1}.

Suppose that $\overline{X}$ is a partial compactification as in Section 8.3, satisfying Assumption 8.8 and the hypothesis of Corollary 8.12. With reference to Definition 8.13, there is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading $H_{\partial \overline{X}} \, = \, H_{\partial \overline{X}, +} \, \oplus \, H_{\partial \overline{X}, -}$ coming from T. We put a smooth Riemannian metric g_0 on the manifold-with-boundary $\overline{X}$ in the given conformal class. We define $H^{-1/2}(\partial \overline{X}; \Lambda^k)$ using the induced metric on $\partial \overline{X}$. Let $P_{H_{\partial \overline{X}, \pm}}$ denote orthogonal projection from $H^{-1/2}(\partial \overline{X}; \Lambda^k)$ to $H_{\partial \overline{X}, \pm}$. From elliptic theory, for all $a \in C(\partial \overline{X})$, $\left[P_{H_{\partial \overline{X}, \pm}}, (1 + \triangle)^{1/4} \, a \, (1 + \triangle)^{-1/4}\right]$ is compact. Hence one obtains homomorphisms $\tau_\pm \, : \, C(\partial \overline{X}) \to Q\left(H_{\partial \overline{X}, \pm}\right)$ by $\tau_\pm(a) \, = \, \left[P_{H_{\partial \overline{X}, \pm}} \, (1 + \triangle)^{1/4} \, a \, (1 + \triangle)^{-1/4} \, P_{H_{\partial \overline{X}, \pm}}\right]$.

Proposition 8.36. $\partial[(H, \gamma, F)]$ *equals* $[\tau_+] - [\tau_-]$ *in* $\mathrm{Ext}(C(\partial \overline{X})) \cong \mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}(C(\partial \overline{X}); \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. We wish to show that $[\sigma_\pm] = [\tau_\pm]$. The method of proof is similar to that of [7, Proposition 4.3]. The subspace $H_{\partial\overline{X}}$ of $H^{-1/2}(\partial\overline{X};\Lambda^k)$ has an induced inner product that is boundedly equivalent to the inner product of Definition 8.11. To prove the proposition, it is sufficient to use the new inner product on $H_{\partial\overline{X}}$. Suppose first that $a \in C^\infty(\partial\overline{X})$. We will show that $[\sigma_\pm](a)$ equals the class of $\left[P_{H_{\partial\overline{X},\pm}}\, a\, P_{H_{\partial\overline{X},\pm}}\right]$ in $Q\left(H_{\partial\overline{X},\pm}\right)$. From elliptic theory, this in turn equals the class of $\left[P_{H_{\partial\overline{X},\pm}}\,(1+\triangle)^{1/4}\, a\,(1+\triangle)^{-1/4}\, P_{H_{\partial\overline{X},\pm}}\right]$.

Let $a' \in C_c^\infty(\overline{X})$ be an extension of a. Using the isomorphism $i^* : \mathcal{H} \to H_{\partial\overline{X}}$, it suffices to show that $i^*P_{\mathcal{H}}a' - P_{H_{\partial\overline{X}}}ai^*$ is compact from $\mathcal{H}$ to $H_{\partial\overline{X}}$. As $i^*a'P_{\mathcal{H}} - aP_{H_{\partial\overline{X}}}i^*$ vanishes on $\mathcal{H}$, it suffices to show that $i^*[P_{\mathcal{H}}, a'] - [P_{H_{\partial\overline{X}}}, a]i^*$ is compact.

As $P_{H_{\partial\overline{X}}}$ is a zeroth order pseudodifferential operator, $[P_{H_{\partial\overline{X}}}, a]$ is compact on $H^{-1/2}(\partial\overline{X};\Lambda^k)$, so $[P_{H_{\partial\overline{X}}}, a]i^*$ is compact from $\mathcal{H}$ to $H^{-1/2}(\partial\overline{X};\Lambda^k)$.

From Proposition 8.9, $[P_{\mathcal{H}}, a']$ is compact from $L^2(X;\Lambda^k)$ to $L^2(X;\Lambda^k)$. Let D be the operator $d + d^*$ on X, where d^* is defined using g_0. Its maximal domain is $\mathrm{Dom}(D_{max}) = \{\omega \in L^2(X;\Lambda^*) : (d+d^*)\,\omega \in L^2(X;\Lambda^*)\}$. Clearly $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathrm{Dom}(D_{max})$. Applying [7, Lemma 3.2], we conclude that $i^*[P_{\mathcal{H}}, a']$ is compact from $\mathcal{H}$ to $H^{-1/2}(\partial\overline{X};\Lambda^k)$.

If a is merely continuous then multiplication by a may not be defined on $H^{-1/2}(\partial\overline{X};\Lambda^k)$. However, the operator $(1+\triangle)^{1/4}\, a\,(1+\triangle)^{-1/4}$ is well-defined and gives a homomorphism $C(\partial\overline{X}) \to B(H^{-1/2}(\partial\overline{X};\Lambda^k))$. The proposition now follows from the norm density of $C^\infty(\partial\overline{X})$ in $C(\partial\overline{X})$. □

Taking $X \subset S^{2k}$ to be the upper hemisphere H^{2k}, it follows that $[\tau_+]-[\tau_-] \in \mathrm{Ext}(C(S^{2k-1})) \cong \mathrm{KK}_{2k-1}(C(S^{2k-1});\mathbb{C})$ is the signature class of S^{2k-1}.

Corollary 8.37. *The map $\tau_\pm : C(S^{2k-1}) \to Q(H_{S^{2k-1},\pm})$ is $\mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$-equivariant.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the proof of Proposition 8.36 is essentially $\mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$-equivariant. We give an alternative direct argument.

The group $\mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$ acts on $H^{-1/2}(S^{2k-1};\Lambda^k)$ through its action on S^{2k-1}, although not isometrically. For $g \in \mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$, we have $gP_{H_{S^{2k-1}}} = P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}gP_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}$. Then $\mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$ acts by automorphisms on $B\left(H_{S^{2k-1}}\right)$, with $g \in \mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$ sending $T \in B\left(H_{S^{2k-1}}\right)$ to $P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}gTg^{-1}P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}} = P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}gP_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}TP_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}g^{-1}P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}$. There is an induced action on $Q\left(H_{S^{2k-1}}\right)$.

Suppose that $a \in C^\infty(S^{2k-1})$ and $g \in \mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$. Then

(8.38)
$$\begin{aligned} P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}gag^{-1}P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}} &= P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}gaP_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}g^{-1}P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}} = P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}gaP^2_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}g^{-1}P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}} \\ &= P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}g\left(aP_{H_{S^{2k-1}}} - P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}a\right)P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}g^{-1}P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}} + \\ &\quad P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}gP_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}aP_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}g^{-1}P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

From elliptic theory, $aP_{H_{S^{2k-1}}} - P_{H_{S^{2k-1}}}a$ is compact. It follows that the homomorphism $C^\infty(S^{2k-1}) \to Q(H_{S^{2k-1},\pm})$ is $\mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$-equivariant. The corollary now follows by continuity. □

9. Odd cycles on limit sets

In this section we construct Γ-equivariant Ext cycles on limit sets. If the limit set is the entire sphere-at-infinity S^{2k-1} then we use the Ext cycle of Section 8.5. If the limit set is a proper subset of the sphere-at-infinity S^{2k} then we take X to be a Γ-invariant union of connected components of the domain-of-discontinuity Ω. We apply the boundary construction of Section 8.2 to get an Ext cycle on Λ. We show that the resulting K-homology class is invariant under quasiconformal deformation. We use Section 8.5 to describe an explicit Ext cycle for the K-homology class in the quasiFuchsian case, and in the case of an acylindrical convex-cocompact hyperbolic 3-manifold with incompressible boundary.

9.1. **When the limit set is the entire sphere, odd-dimensional.** In this subsection we suppose that $n = 2k-1$ and $\Lambda = S^{2k-1}$.

From Corollary 8.37, we have Γ-equivariant homomorphisms $\tau_{\pm} : C(S^{2k-1}) \to Q(H_{S^{2k-1},\pm})$. In the nonequivariant case the difference of such homomorphisms defines an Ext class and hence an odd KK-class, as the relevant algebra $C(S^{2k-1})$ is nuclear [18, Corollary 5.2.11 and Theorem 8.4.3]. In the equivariant case an odd KK-class gives rise to a Γ-equivariant Ext class, but the converse is not automatic (see [44]). However, it is true in our case, where the relevant KK-class is the image of the signature class of B^{2k} under the maps $\mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma}_{2k}(C_0(B^{2k}); \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma}_{2k}(C(\overline{B^{2k}}), C(S^{2k-1}); \mathbb{C}) \stackrel{\partial}{\to} \mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma}_{2k-1}(C(S^{2k-1}); \mathbb{C})$. From the discussion of Section 6, this is a nontorsion class.

9.2. **Quasiconformal invariance II.** In this subsection we take X to be a Γ-invariant union of connected components of the domain-of-discontinuity Ω. We give sufficient conditions for Assumption 8.8 to be satisfied. We show that the K-homology class arising from the boundary construction of Section 8.2 is invariant under quasiconformal deformation.

Let Γ' be a discrete torsion-free subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k+1})$, with limit set Λ' and domain of discontinuity $X' = \Omega'$. We take the compactification $\overline{X}' = S^{2k}$.

Proposition 9.1. *1. If $\Lambda' = S^{2k-l}$ and $l \neq 2$ then the compactification satisfies Assumption 8.8.*
2. If Γ' is convex-cocompact but not cocompact, and the convex core has totally geodesic boundary, then the compactification satisfies Assumption 8.8.

Proof. 1. If $\Lambda' = S^{2k-l}$ then Ω' is conformally equivalent to $H^{2k-l+1} \times S^{l-1}$. Consider the metric on $H^{2k-l+1} \times S^{l-1}$ that is a product of constant-curvature metrics. If l is odd then the differential form Laplacian on H^{2k-l+1} has a gap away from zero in its spectrum. It follows that Assumption 7.11 is satisfied in this case. If l is even then the p-form Laplacian on H^{2k-l+1} is strictly positive if $p \neq k - \frac{l}{2}, k - \frac{l}{2} + 1$. From this, the p-form Laplacian on $H^{2k-l+1} \times S^{l-1}$ is strictly positive if $p \neq k - \frac{l}{2}, k - \frac{l}{2} + 1, k + \frac{l}{2} - 1, k + \frac{l}{2}$. It follows that the k-form Laplacian on $H^{2k-l+1} \times S^{l-1}$ is strictly positive if $l \neq 2$. As the inclusion $\Omega' \to S^{2k}$ factors through continuous maps $\Omega' \to \overline{H^{2k-l+1}} \times S^{l-1} \to S^{2k}$, it follows that Assumption 8.8 is satisfied.
2. In this case Ω' is a union of round balls in S^{2k} with disjoint closures. Putting the hyperbolic metric on each of these balls, Assumption 8.8 is satisfied. □

There is an evident extension of Proposition 9.1.2 to the case when rank-$2k$ cusps are allowed.

Let Γ and Γ' be discrete torsion-free subgroups of $\mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k+1})$. They are said to be quasiconformally related if there are an isomorphism $i : \Gamma' \to \Gamma$ and a quasiconformal homeomorphism $\phi : S^{2k} \to S^{2k}$ satisfying

$$\phi \circ \gamma' \circ \phi^{-1} = i(\gamma') \tag{9.2}$$

for all $\gamma' \in \Gamma'$. It follows that the limit sets Λ' and Λ are related by $\phi(\Lambda') = \Lambda$.

Let X' be a Γ'-invariant union of connected components of Ω'. Suppose that X' satisfies Assumption 8.8. Then the construction described in Section 8.2 gives Γ'-equivariant homomorphisms $\sigma_\pm : C(\Lambda') \to Q(H_{\partial\overline{X}',\pm})$. As in the previous section, the equivariant Ext class $[\sigma_+] - [\sigma_-]$ arises from a class in $\mathrm{KK}^\Gamma_{2k-1}(C(\Lambda'); \mathbb{C})$.

Suppose that Γ and Γ' are quasiconformally related. By naturality, there is an isomorphism $\left(\phi\big|_{\Lambda'}\right)_* : \mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma'}_{2k-1}(C(\Lambda'); \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{KK}^\Gamma_{2k-1}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$. Put $X = \phi(X')$. Then $\partial\overline{X'} = \Lambda'$ and $\partial\overline{X} = \Lambda$. Suppose that X' satisfies Assumption 7.11. By Proposition 7.13, Proposition 7.15 and Corollary 7.31, there are well-defined signature classes $[(H', \gamma', F')] \in \mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma'}_{2k}(C(X'); \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma'}_{2k}(C(\overline{X'}), C(\Lambda'); \mathbb{C})$ and $[(H, \gamma, F)] \in \mathrm{KK}^\Gamma_{2k}(C(X); \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{KK}^\Gamma_{2k}(C(\overline{X}), C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 9.3. $\left(\phi\big|_{\Lambda'}\right)_* (\partial[(H', \gamma', F')]) = \partial[(H, \gamma, F)]$ *in* $\mathrm{KK}^\Gamma_{2k-1}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Proposition 8.6, extended to the equivariant setting. □

Given a discrete group G, it follows that quasiconformally equivalent embeddings $G \to \mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{n+1})$ give rise to the same KK-class. We note that if Γ is a convex-cocompact representation of G then G is Gromov-hyperbolic and Λ is homeomorphic to ∂G. In principle the K-cycle that we have constructed for $\mathrm{KK}^\Gamma_{2k-1}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ can be expressed entirely in terms of G.

9.3. **Odd-dimensional quasiFuchsian manifolds.** In this subsection we give an explicit Γ-equivariant Ext cycle for the K-homology class in the quasiFuchsian case, as a pushforward of the Fuchsian cycle.

Let Γ' be a discrete torsion-free subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$ whose limit set is S^{2k-1}. There is a natural Fuchsian embedding $\Gamma' \subset \mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k+1})$. Take $X' = B^{2k}$, the upper hemisphere. By Proposition 9.1.1, Assumption 8.8 is satisfied. A group $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k+1})$ that is quasiconformally related to Γ' is said to be a quasiFuchsian deformation of Γ'.

Corollary 9.4. $\partial[(H, \gamma, F)]$ *is the pushforward under* $\phi\big|_{S^{2k-1}}$ *of the signature class of* S^{2k-1} *in* $\mathrm{KK}^\Gamma_{2k-1}(C(S^{2k-1}); \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 9.3. □

The Ext cycle for the signature class of S^{2k-1} in $\mathrm{KK}^\Gamma_{2k-1}(C(S^{2k-1}); \mathbb{C})$ was described in Section 9.1. Given the quasiFuchsian group Γ, suppose that ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are two quasiconformal maps satisfying (9.2). Then $\phi_1^{-1} \circ \phi_2\big|_{S^{2k-1}} : S^{2k-1} \to S^{2k-1}$ commutes with each element of Γ'. As the fixed points of the hyperbolic elements of Γ' are dense in its limit set S^{2k-1}, it follows that $\phi_1^{-1} \circ \phi_2\big|_{S^{2k-1}} = \mathrm{Id}_{S^{2k-1}}$, so $\phi_1\big|_{S^{2k-1}} = \phi_2\big|_{S^{2k-1}}$. Next, suppose that Γ'' is another Fuchsian group such that H^{2k}/Γ' is orientation-preserving isometric to H^{2k}/Γ''. Then there is some $g \in \mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$ so that $g\Gamma' g^{-1} = \Gamma''$. As g acts conformally on S^{2k-1}, we can *define* a conformal structure on Λ to be the standard conformal structure on the homeomorphic set $\phi^{-1}(\Lambda) = S^{2k-1}$. This is independent of the choices made.

The upshot is that there is a Γ-equivariant Ext cycle for the K-homology class in $\mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma}_{2k-1}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$, given by the pushforward of the signature Ext cycle for S^{2k-1} under the homeomorphism $\phi\big|_{S^{2k-1}} : S^{2k-1} \to \Lambda$. From Section 9.1, the signature Ext class for S^{2k-1} is nontorsion in $\mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma'}_{2k-1}(C(S^{2k-1}); \mathbb{C})$. As $\left(\phi\big|_{S^{2k-1}}\right)_*$ is an isomorphism, it follows that the class in $\mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma}_{2k-1}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ is also nontorsion.

9.4. **The case of a quasicircle.** Applying the construction of Section 9.3 in the case $k = 1$, we show that we recover the K-homology class on a quasicircle considered by Connes and Sullivan.

Suppose that $k = 1$ and $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Isom}^+(H^3)$ is a quasiFuchsian group. Let B^2 be the open upper hemisphere in S^2 and put $X = \phi(B^2)$. If D^2 is the closed disk in $\mathbb{C}$, let $Z : \mathrm{int}(D^2) \to X$ be a uniformization, i.e. a holomorphic isomorphism. The pullback $Z^* : L^2(X; \Lambda^1) \to L^2(\mathrm{int}(D^2); \Lambda^1)$ is an isometry. Because Z is a conformal diffeomorphism, Z^* sends $\mathcal{H}_X$ isometrically to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{int}(D^2)}$. More explicitly, the elements of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{int}(D^2)}$ are square-integrable forms $f_1(z)dz + \overline{f_2(z)}d\overline{z}$ on $\mathrm{int}(D^2)$, where f_1 and f_2 are holomorphic functions on $\mathrm{int}(D^2)$.

By Carathéodory's theorem, Z extends to a homeomorphism $Z : D^2 \to \overline{X}$ [38, Theorem 14.19]. Then $Z^* H_{\partial \overline{X}}$ is isometric to $\mathrm{Im}\left(d : H^{1/2}(S^1; \Lambda^0) \to H^{-1/2}(S^1; \Lambda^1)\right)$, with the operator T acting by

$$T\left(e^{ik\theta} d\theta\right) = \begin{cases} e^{ik\theta} d\theta & \text{if } k > 0, \\ -e^{ik\theta} d\theta & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases} \tag{9.5}$$

Unequivariantly, the homomorphisms $\sigma_{\pm} : C(S^1) \to Q(H_{S^1,\pm})$ are essentially the same as the standard Toeplitz homomorphisms.

We remark that the dual space to $Z^* H_{\partial \overline{X}}$ is $H^{1/2}(S^1; \Lambda^0)/\mathbb{C}$. The Hermitian form $S(f_1, f_2) = \int_{S^1} f_1 \wedge d\overline{f_2}$ on $H^{1/2}(S^1; \Lambda^0)/\mathbb{C}$ is the Hermitian form of the Hilbert transform.

Let us compare the equivariant Ext class $[\sigma_+] - [\sigma_-]$ with that considered by Connes and Sullivan [11, Section IV.3.γ]. The latter is based on the Hilbert space $H_0 = L^2(S^1)$. The obvious Γ-action on H_0 is not unitary, but one can make it unitary by adding compensating weights. Then there is a Γ-invariant operator T_0 on H_0, which is essentially the Hilbert transform, and satisfies $T_0^2 = 1$. Decomposing H_0 with respect to T_0 as $H_0 = H_{0,+} \oplus H_{0,-}$, one obtains Γ-invariant homomorphisms $\sigma_{0,\pm} : C(S^1) \to Q(H_{0,\pm})$ given by $\sigma_{0,\pm}(f) = \frac{1 \pm T_0}{2} f \frac{1 \pm T_0}{2}$, modulo $K(H_{0,\pm})$.

Although there is a formal similarity between $H_{S^1,\pm}$ and $H_{0,\pm}$, they carry distinct representations of Γ. Nevertheless, the ensuing classes in $\mathrm{K}^{\Gamma}_1(C(S^1); \mathbb{C})$ are the same. To see this, consider the E_2-term $E_2^{0,0} = H^0(\Gamma; K_1(S^1))$ in the proof of Proposition 6.1. This term is unaffected by the differentials of the spectral sequence and passes to the limit to give a contribution to $\mathrm{K}^{\Gamma}_1(C(S^1); \mathbb{C})$. It corresponds to Γ-invariant elements of $K_1(S^1)$. Unequivariantly, $[\sigma_+] - [\sigma_-] = [\sigma_{0,+}] - [\sigma_{0,-}]$ in $K_1(S^1)$. As both sides are Γ-invariant, it follows that they give rise to the same class in $\mathrm{K}^{\Gamma}_1(C(S^1); \mathbb{C})$.

We note that the main use of the Connes-Sullivan cycle is to define certain operators on H_0 for which one wants to compute the trace. As the trace is formally independent of the

choice of inner product, one can consider the same operators on H_{S^1}. See the remark after Proposition 11.4 for further discussion.

9.5. **Odd-dimensional convex-cocompact manifolds.** In this subsection we give an explicit Γ-equivariant Ext cycle in the case of an odd-dimensional convex-cocompact hyperbolic manifold whose convex core has totally geodesic boundary. We use this to give an explicit cycle in the case of an arbitrary acylindrical convex-cocompact hyperbolic 3-manifold with incompressible boundary.

Let M^{2k+1} be a noncompact convex-cocompact hyperbolic manifold with a convex core $Z \subset M$ whose boundary is totally geodesic. Let C be a boundary component of $\partial\overline{M}$. Then the preimage X of C in Ω is a union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ of round balls in S^{2k} with disjoint closures. Put $Y_i = \partial\overline{B_i}$. Then Λ is the closure of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_i$. By Proposition 9.1.2, Assumption 8.8 is satisfied. We now describe the Ext cycle on Λ coming from Section 8.2. From Section 8.4, the Hilbert space will be $H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im}\left(d : H^{1/2}(Y_i; \Lambda^{k-1}) \to H^{-1/2}(Y_i; \Lambda^k)\right)$. It is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded by the operator T of Definition 8.13, applied separately to each Y_i. The Ext class will be $[\sigma_+] - [\sigma_-]$, where the homomorphisms $\sigma_\pm : C(\Lambda) \to Q(H_\pm)$ come from restricting $f \in C(\Lambda)$ to each Y_i and applying the map $\tau_\pm$ of Corollary 8.37.

Now let M be a noncompact acylindrical convex-cocompact hyperbolic 3-manifold with incompressible boundary. Let Z be a compact core for M. There is a hyperbolic 3-manifold M', homeomorphic to M, whose convex core has totally geodesic boundary (one applies Thurston's hyperbolization theorem for Haken manifolds to get an involution-invariant hyperbolic metric on the double DZ). Furthermore, it follows from [29, Theorem 8.1] that the groups $\Gamma' = \pi_1(M')$ and $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ are quasiconformally related. The K-homology class on Λ' is represented by the Ext cycle of the preceding paragraph. From Proposition 9.3, the K-homology class on Λ is represented by the pushforward of this Ext cycle by $\phi\big|_{\Lambda'}$. From the discussion of Section 6, if $\partial\overline{M}$ has more than one connected component then one gets nontorsion K-homology classes from this construction. Topologically, Λ is a Sierpinski curve.

There is an evident extension to the case when M is allowed to have rank-two cusps.

10. From odd cycles to even cycles

In Section 9 we considered the case when Λ is a proper subset of S^{2k} and showed how to pass from an even K-cycle on Ω to an Ext cycle on Λ. In this section we consider the case when Λ is a proper subset of S^{2k-1}. We then want to start with an odd cycle on Ω and construct an even K-cycle on Λ.

In the closed case, the relevant Hilbert space for an Ext cycle is the dual space to that of Section 8.3, namely $H^{1/2}(X, \Lambda^{k-1})/\operatorname{Ker}(d)$. If X instead has a compactification $\overline{X}$ then there are different choices for $H^{1/2}(X, \Lambda^{k-1})/\operatorname{Ker}(d)$, depending on the particular metric (complete or incomplete) taken in the given conformal class. This point deserves further study. A related problem is to develop a good notion of a relative version of Ext and the corresponding boundary map, as mentioned in [6, p. 3]. Of course there is a boundary map in odd relative K-homology [18, Proposition 8.5.6(b)], but in our case the natural cycles are Ext cycles. In this section we will just illustrate using smooth forms how to go from the odd cycle on X to an even K-cycle on ∂X. We describe the resulting K-cycle in the quasiFuchsian case, and in the case of a quasiconformal deformation of a convex-cocompact

hyperbolic manifold whose convex core has totally geodesic boundary. In the case $k = 1$ we recover the K-cycle on a Cantor set considered by Connes and Sullivan.

10.1. **The boundary map in the odd case.** In this subsection we describe a formalism to go from the Ext cycle of Section 8.3, considered on an odd-dimensional manifold-with-boundary, to an even K-cycle on the boundary.

Let X^{2k-1} be an odd-dimensional compact oriented manifold-with-boundary. Let $i : \partial X \to X$ be the boundary inclusion. We write

$$\mathrm{Ker}(d) = \mathrm{Ker}\left(d : C^\infty(X; \Lambda^{k-1}) \to C^\infty(X; \Lambda^k)\right) \tag{10.1}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Ker}(d)_0 = \{\omega \in \mathrm{Ker}(d) : i^* \omega = 0\}. \tag{10.2}$$

The form

$$S(\omega_1, \omega_2) = i^k \int_X \omega_1 \wedge d\overline{\omega_2} \tag{10.3}$$

is well-defined on $C^\infty(X; \Lambda^{k-1}) / \mathrm{Ker}(d)_0$ and satisfies

$$S(\omega_1, \omega_2) - \overline{S(\omega_2, \omega_1)} = -(-i)^k \int_{\partial X} i^* \omega_1 \wedge i^* \overline{\omega_2}. \tag{10.4}$$

The map $i^* : C^\infty(X; \Lambda^{k-1}) \to C^\infty(\partial X; \Lambda^{k-1})$ restricts to a map on $\mathrm{Ker}(d) / \mathrm{Ker}(d)_0$, with image $i^* \mathrm{Ker}(d) \subset C^\infty(\partial X; \Lambda^{k-1})$.

We now assume that ∂X has a conformal structure. Then we have the Hilbert space $H_{\partial X} = L^2(\partial X; \Lambda^{k-1})$, with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading operator γ as in (7.2). From (10.4),

$$S(\omega_1, \omega_2) - \overline{S(\omega_2, \omega_1)} = (-1)^{k+1} i \, \langle i^* \omega_1, \gamma \, i^* \omega_2 \rangle_{\partial X}. \tag{10.5}$$

This is a compatibility between the form S on X and the inner product on ∂X.

Proposition 10.6. *There is an orthogonal decomposition*

$$H_{\partial X} = \overline{i^* \mathrm{Ker}(d)} \oplus \gamma \, \overline{i^* \mathrm{Ker}(d)}. \tag{10.7}$$

Proof. Suppose that $\omega_1', \omega_2' \in \mathrm{Ker}(d) \subset C^\infty(X; \Lambda^{k-1})$. Then

$$\int_{\partial X} \omega_1' \wedge \overline{\omega_2'} = \int_X d(\omega_1' \wedge \overline{\omega_2'}) = 0. \tag{10.8}$$

This implies that $\overline{i^* \mathrm{Ker}(d)}$ and $\gamma \, \overline{i^* \mathrm{Ker}(d)}$ are perpendicular.

If $\omega = d\eta$ with $\eta \in C^\infty(\partial X; \Lambda^{k-2})$, and $\eta' \in C^\infty(X; \Lambda^{k-2})$ satisfies $i^* \eta' = \eta$, then $\omega = i^* d\eta'$. Thus $\mathrm{Im}(d : C^\infty(\partial X; \Lambda^{k-2}) \to C^\infty(\partial X; \Lambda^{k-1}))$ is contained in $i^* \mathrm{Ker}(d)$, and similarly $\mathrm{Im}(d^* : C^\infty(\partial X; \Lambda^k) \to C^\infty(X; \Lambda^{k-1}))$ is contained in $\gamma \, i^* \mathrm{Ker}(d)$.

Suppose that $\omega \in H_{\partial X}$ is orthogonal to $\overline{i^* \mathrm{Ker}(d)}$ and $\gamma \, \overline{i^* \mathrm{Ker}(d)}$. It follows that $d\omega = d^* \omega = 0$. Without loss of generality, we can take ω to be real. Let $[\omega] \in \mathrm{H}^{k-1}(\partial X; \mathbb{R})$ denote the corresponding cohomology class. From the cohomology exact sequence

$$\ldots \to \mathrm{H}^{k-1}(X; \mathbb{R}) \stackrel{i^*}{\to} \mathrm{H}^{k-1}(\partial X; \mathbb{R}) \stackrel{(i^*)^*}{\to} \mathrm{H}^k(X, \partial X; \mathbb{R}) \to \ldots, \tag{10.9}$$

$i^* \mathrm{H}^{k-1}(X; \mathbb{R})$ is a maximal isotropic subspace of $\mathrm{H}^{k-1}(\partial X; \mathbb{R})$. Representing $\mathrm{H}^{k-1}(\partial X; \mathbb{R})$ by harmonic forms, $\gamma \, i^* \mathrm{H}^{k-1}(X; \mathbb{R})$ is orthogonal to $i^* \mathrm{H}^{k-1}(X; \mathbb{R})$. By assumption, ω is orthogonal to $i^* \mathrm{H}^{k-1}(X; \mathbb{R})$ and $\gamma \, i^* \mathrm{H}^{k-1}(X; \mathbb{R})$. Thus $\omega = 0$. □

Define $F'_{\partial X} \in B(H_{\partial X})$ by

$$F'_{\partial X}(\omega) \;=\; \begin{cases} \omega & \text{if } \omega \in \overline{i^* \operatorname{Ker}(d)}, \\ -\,\omega & \text{if } \omega \in \gamma\, \overline{i^* \operatorname{Ker}(d)}. \end{cases} \tag{10.10}$$

Proposition 10.11. *The triple $(H_{\partial X}, \gamma, F'_{\partial X})$ represents the same class in $\mathrm{KK}_{2k-2}(C(\partial X); \mathbb{C})$ as the triple $(H_{\partial X}, \gamma, F)$ of Section 7.1.*

Proof. As $\mathrm{H}^{k-1}(\partial X; \mathbb{C})$ is finite-dimensional, $F'_{\partial X} - F$ is compact. □

Proposition 10.11 shows the K-cycle on ∂X constructed from X, namely $(H_{\partial X}, \gamma, F'_{\partial X})$, represents the desired K-homology class on ∂X.

10.2. **Even-dimensional quasiFuchsian manifolds.** In this subsection we apply the formalism of Section 10.1 to describe an equivariant K-cycle on the limit set of an even-dimensional quasiFuchsian manifold, in analogy with Section 9.3.

We first consider the case of a Fuchsian manifold. Let Γ' be a discrete torsion-free subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k-1})$ whose limit set is S^{2k-2}. There is a natural embedding $\Gamma' \subset \mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$, with limit set $\Lambda' = S^{2k-2} \subset S^{2k-1}$. Applying Section 10.1 with X being the upper hemisphere $H^{2k-1} \subset S^{2k-1}$ gives the K-cycle for $\mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma'}_{2k-2}(C(S^{2k-2}); \mathbb{C})$ of Section 7.4.

A group $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$ that is quasiconformally related to Γ' is said to be a quasiFuchsian deformation of Γ'. Motivated by Section 9.3, we can *define* a cycle for $\mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma}_{2k-2}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ by the pushforward under $\phi\big|_{S^{2k-2}}$ of the K-cycle for $\mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma'}_{2k-2}(C(S^{2k-2}); \mathbb{C})$. As in Section 9.3, this is independent of the choice of ϕ. From Section 7.4, the signature class for S^{2k-2} is nontorsion in $\mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma'}_{2k-2}(C(S^{2k-2}); \mathbb{C})$. As $\left(\phi\big|_{S^{2k-2}}\right)_*$ is an isomorphism, it follows that the class in $\mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma}_{2k-2}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ is also nontorsion.

10.3. **Even-dimensional convex-cocompact manifolds.** In this subsection we apply the formalism of Section 10.1 to describe an equivariant K-cycle on the limit set of a quasiconformal deformation of an even-dimensional convex-cocompact hyperbolic manifold whose convex core has totally geodesic boundary.

Let Γ' be a convex-cocompact subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}^+(H^{2k})$ whose convex core has totally geodesic boundary. Let C be a connected component of $\partial \overline{M}$. Then the preimage X of C in Ω is a union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ of round balls in S^{2k-1} with disjoint closures. Put $Y_i = \partial \overline{B_i}$. Then the limit set Λ' is the closure of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_i$.

The Hilbert space of Section 10.1 becomes $H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} L^2(Y_i; \Lambda^{k-1})$. Define $\gamma_i \in B(L^2(Y_i; \Lambda^{k-1}))$ as in (7.2). Put $\gamma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i$. The operator F of (10.10) becomes a direct sum $F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ where $F_i \in B(L^2(Y_i; \Lambda^{k-1}))$ is as in (7.10). An element $a \in C(\Lambda')$ acts diagonally on H as multiplication by $a_i = a\big|_{Y_i}$ on $L^2(Y_i; \Lambda^{k-1})$.

Proposition 10.12. *(H, γ, F) is a cycle for $\mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma'}_{2k-2}(C(\Lambda'); \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Given $a \in C(\Lambda')$, we must show that $[F, a]$ is compact. Extending a to $a' \in C(S^{2k-1})$ and approximating the latter by smooth functions, we may assume that a' is smooth.

We know that for each i, $[F_i, a_i]$ is compact. It suffices to show that $\lim_{i\to\infty} \| [F_i, a_i] \| = 0$. Fixing a round metric on S^{2k-1}, let $\overline{a}_i$ be the average value of a_i on Y_i. Then $[F_i, a_i] = [F_i, a_i - \overline{a}_i]$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} \| a_i - \overline{a}_i \| = 0$, from which the proposition follows. □

Now let Γ be a quasiconformal deformation of Γ'. We can construct a cycle for $\mathrm{KK}^{\Gamma}_{2k-2}(C(\Lambda); \mathbb{C})$ as the pushforward of the preceding K-cycle by $\phi\big|_{\Lambda'}$. As in Section 9.3, this is independent of the choice of ϕ.

10.4. **The case of a Cantor set.** In this subsection we specialize Section 10.3 to the case $k = 1$.

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Isom}^+(H^2)$ be a convex-cocompact subgroup. If $M = H^2/\Gamma$ is noncompact then it has a convex core with totally geodesic boundary, and Λ is a Cantor set. Let C be a connected component of Ω/Γ. Then its preimage X in Ω is a countable disjoint union of open intervals (b_i, c_i) in S^1, and Λ is the closure of the endpoints $\{b_i, c_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. We have $H = l^2\left(\{b_i, c_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}\right)$. Define $\gamma \in B(H)$ by saying that for each $\omega \in H$ and each i, $(\gamma\omega)(b_i) = -\omega(b_i)$ and $(\gamma\omega)(c_i) = \omega(c_i)$. As $\mathrm{Ker}(d)$ consists of locally constant functions on X, we obtain $(F\omega)(b_i) = \omega(c_i)$ and $(F\omega)(c_i) = \omega(b_i)$.

Taking a direct sum over the connected components C gives the K-cycle (H, γ, F) considered in [11, Proposition 21, Section IV.3.ϵ]. (The cited reference discusses (H, F) as an ungraded K-cycle.)

11. p-SUMMABILITY

In this section we show the p-summability of a certain Fredholm module $(\mathcal{A}, H, F)$ for sufficiently large p.

With reference to Section 10.2, let $\mathcal{A}$ be the restriction of $\phi^* C^{\infty}(S^{2k-1})$ to S^{2k-2}, a subalgebra of $C(S^{2k-2})$. Then we have an even Fredholm module $(\mathcal{A}, L^2(S^{2k-2}; \Lambda^{k-1}), F)$ in the sense of [11, Chapter IV, Definition 1].

Proposition 11.1. *For sufficiently large p, $(\mathcal{A}, L^2(S^{2k-2}; \Lambda^{k-1}), F)$ is p-summable in the sense of* [11, Chapter IV, Definition 3].

Proof. We claim that for p large, $[F, a]$ is in the p-Schatten ideal for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Given $x, y \in S^{2k-2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2k-1}$, let $|x - y|$ denote the chordal distance between them. From [21], it suffices to show that

$$\int_{S^{2k-2} \times S^{2k-2}} \frac{|a(x) - a(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{4k-4}} \, dx \, dy < \infty. \tag{11.2}$$

(The statement of [21] is for operators on $\mathbb{R}^{2k-2}$ instead of S^{2k-2}. We can go from one to the other by stereographic projection, using the conformally-invariant measure $\frac{dx\,dy}{|x-y|^{4k-4}}$.) As ϕ is a quasiconformal homeomorphism, it lies in the Hölder space $C^{0,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $|a(x) - a(y)|^p \leq C\,|x - y|^{\alpha p}$ for all $x, y \in S^{2k-2}$. The claim follows for $p > \frac{2k-2}{\alpha}$. □

With reference to Section 9.3, let $\mathcal{A}$ be the restriction of $\phi^* C^{\infty}(S^{2k})$ to S^{2k-1}, a subalgebra of $C(S^{2k-1})$. Let $E_{\pm}$ be the projection from $L^2(S^{2k-1}; \Lambda^k)$ to the ± 1-eigenspace of $\mathrm{sign}((-i)^k \, d*)$ acting on $\mathrm{Im}(d) \subset L^2(S^{2k-1}; \Lambda^k)$. Explicitly,

$$E_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left(I \pm \frac{(-i)^k \, d*}{\triangle^{1/2}} \right) \frac{dd^*}{\triangle}. \tag{11.3}$$

For the motivation for the next proposition, we refer to [10, Section 7].

Proposition 11.4. *For sufficiently large p, $[E_\pm, a]$ is in the p-Schatten ideal of operators on $L^2(S^{2k-1};\Lambda^k)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Proposition 11.1. □

We note that Proposition 11.4 refers to $L^2(S^{2k-1};\Lambda^k)$, whereas it is the $H^{-1/2}$-space $\mathrm{Im}(d) \subset H^{-1/2}(S^{2k-1};\Lambda^k)$ that is Möbius invariant. We can consider $L^2(S^{2k-1};\Lambda^k)$ to be a dense subspace of $H^{-1/2}(S^{2k-1};\Lambda^k)$. The orthogonal projection $E'_\pm$ from $H^{-1/2}(S^{2k-1};\Lambda^k)$ to the ± 1-eigenspace of $\mathrm{sign}((-i)^k d*)$ acting on $\mathrm{Im}(d) \subset H^{-1/2}(S^{2k-1};\Lambda^k)$, i.e. to $\mathrm{Im}\left(\frac{I\pm T}{2}\right)$, is again given by the formula in (11.3). Although we do not show the p-summability of the ungraded Fredholm module $(\mathcal{A}, H^{-1/2}(S^{2k-1};\Lambda^k), E'_+ - E'_-)$, Proposition 11.4 suffices for making sense of the cyclic cocycles of [10, Section 7] in our case.

In the case $k = 1$ of Proposition 11.4, [11, Section IV.3.γ, Proposition 14] has the stronger statement that

$$\delta(\Gamma) \;=\; \inf\{p \,:\, [E_\pm, a] \text{ is in the } p\text{-Schatten ideal for all } a \in \mathcal{A}\}. \tag{11.5}$$

We do not know if a similar statement holds for all k. Using [21], it reduces to a question about the Besov regularity of $\phi\big|_{S^{2k-1}}$. The proof in [11, Section IV.3.γ, Proposition 14] uses facts about holomorphic functions that are special to the case $k = 1$. One can ask the same question in the setup of Proposition 11.1.

Again in the case $k = 1$, [11, Section IV.3.γ, Theorem 17] expresses the Patterson-Sullivan measure on the limit set in terms of the Dixmier trace.

References

[1] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche "Purely Infinite C^*-Algebras Arising from Dynamical Systems", Bull. Soc. Math. France 125, p. 199-225 (1997)

[2] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche "C^*-Algebres de Cuntz-Krieger et Groupes Fuchsiens", in Operator Theory, Operator Algebras and Related Topics, Theta Found., Bucharest, p. 17-35 (1997)

[3] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche and J. Renault, Amenable Groupoids, Monographs of L'Enseignement Mathématique 36, Geneva (2000)

[4] V. Arnold and B. Khesin, Topological Methods in Hydrodynamics, Applied Mathematics Sciences 125, Springer, New York (1998)

[5] M. Atiyah, "Global Theory of Elliptic Operators", in Proc. Internat. Conf. on Functional Analysis and Related Topics, Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, p. 21-30 (1970)

[6] P. Baum and R. Douglas, "Relative K Homology and C^* Algebras", K-Theory 5, p. 1-46 (1991)

[7] P. Baum, R. Douglas and M. Taylor, "Cycles and Relative Cycles in Analytic K-Homology", J. Diff. Geom. 30, p. 761-804 (1989)

[8] B. Blackadar, K-Theory for Operator Algebras, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications 5, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998)

[9] Z. Chen, "Séries Complémentaires des Groupes de Lorentz et KK-Théorie", J. Funct. Anal. 137, p. 76-96 (1996)

[10] A. Connes, "Noncommutative Differential Geometry", Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 62, p. 257-360 (1985)

[11] A. Connes, Noncommutative Geometry, Academic Press, San Diego (1994)

[12] A. Connes, D. Sullivan and N. Teleman, "Quasiconformal Mappings, Operators on Hilbert Space, and Local Formulae for Characteristic Classes", Topology 33, p. 663-681 (1994)

[13] J. Dixmier, C^*-Algebras, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1977)

[14] S. Donaldson and D. Sullivan, "Quasiconformal 4-Manifolds", Acta Math. 163, p. 181-252 (1989)

[15] H. Donnelly and F. Xavier, "On the Differential Form Spectrum of Negatively Curved Manifolds", Amer. J. of Math. 106, p. 169-185 (1984)

[16] H. Emerson, "Noncommutative Poincaré Duality for Boundary Actions of Hyperbolic Groups", J. Reine Angew. Math. 564, p. 1-33 (2003)
[17] S. Ferry, "Remarks on Steenrod Homology", in Novikov Conjectures, Index Theorems and Rigidity, Vol. 2, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 227, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, p. 148-166 (1995)
[18] N. Higson and J. Roe, Analytic K-Homology, Oxford University Press, Oxford (2000)
[19] M. Hilsum, "Signature Operator on Lipschitz Manifolds and Unbounded Kasparov Bimodules", in Operator Algebras and their Connections with Topology and Ergodic Theory, Lecture Notes in Math. 1132, Springer, Berlin, p. 254-288 (1985)
[20] L. Hormander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III, Springer-Verlag, New York (1985)
[21] S. Janson and T. Wolff, "Schatten Classes and Commutators of Singular Integral Operators", Ark. Mat. 20, p. 301-310 (1982)
[22] D. Kahn, J. Kaminker and C. Schochet, "Generalized Homology Theories on Compact Metric Spaces", Michigan Math. J. 24, p. 203-224 (1977)
[23] G. Kasparov, "Lorentz Groups: K-Theory of Unitary Representations and Crossed Products", Soviet Math. Dokl. 29, p. 256-260 (1984)
[24] G. Kasparov, "Equivariant KK-Theory and the Novikov Conjecture", Inv. Math. 91, p. 147-201 (1988)
[25] G. Kasparov, "Relative K-Homology and K-Homology of an Ideal", K-Theory 5, p. 47-49 (1991)
[26] A. Kumjian and J. Renault, "KMS States on C^*-Algebras Associated to Expansive Maps", preprint (2003), http://www.arxiv.org/abs/math.OA/0305044
[27] M. Laca and J. Spielberg, "Purely Infinite C^*-Algebras from Boundary Actions of Discrete Groups", J. Reine Angew Math. 480, p. 125-139 (1996)
[28] J. Lott, " The Zero-in-the-Spectrum Question", Enseign. Math. 42, p. 341-376 (1996)
[29] A. Marden, "The Geometry of Finitely Generated Kleinian Groups", Ann. of Math. 99, 383-462 (1974)
[30] C. McMullen, "The Classification of Conformal Dynamical Systems", in Current Developments in Mathematics, 1995, Internat. Press, Cambridge, p. 323-360 (1995)
[31] P. Nicholls, The Ergodic Theory of Discrete Groups, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 143. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1989)
[32] S. Nag and D. Sullivan, "Teichmüller theory and the Universal Period Mapping via Quantum Calculus and the $H^{1/2}$ Space on the Circle", Osaka J. Math. 32, p. 1-34 (1995)
[33] G. Pedersen, C^*-Algebras and Their Automorphisms, Academic Press, London (1979)
[34] J. Renault, A Groupoid Approach to C^*-algebras, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 793, Springer, Berlin (1980)
[35] R. Spatzier and R. Zimmer, "Fundamental Groups of Negatively Curved Manifolds and Actions of Semisimple Groups", Topology 30, p. 591-601 (1991)
[36] M. Rørdam, Classification of Nuclear, Simple C^*-Algebras, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. 126, Springer, Berlin, p. 1-145 (2002)
[37] W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York (1973)
[38] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York (1974)
[39] J. Spielberg, "Cuntz-Krieger Algebras Associated to Fuchsian Groups", Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems 13, p. 581-595 (1993)
[40] D. Sullivan, "The Density at Infinity of a Discrete Group of Hyperbolic Motions", Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 50, p. 171-202 (1979)
[41] D. Sullivan, "Hyperbolic Geometry and Homeomorphisms", in Geometric topology, Proc. Georgia Topology Conf., Athens, Ga., 1977, Academic Press, New York, p 543-555 (1979)
[42] D. Sullivan, "Related Aspects of Positivity in Riemannian Geometry", J. Diff. Geom. 25, p. 327-351 (1987)
[43] R. Switzer, Algebraic Topology - Homotopy and Homology, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 212, Springer-Verlag, New York (1975)
[44] K. Thomsen, "Equivariant KK-Theory and C^*-Extensions", K-Theory 19, p. 219-249 (2000)
[45] J.-L. Tu, "La Conjecture de Baum-Connes pour les Feuilletages Moyennables", K-Theory 17, p. 215-264 (1999)

[46] P. Tukia and J. Väisälä, "Quasiconformal Extension from Dimension n to $n+1$", Ann. of Math. 115, p. 331-348 (1982)

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1109, USA
E-mail address: lott@umich.edu